



Crime Survey for England and Wales 2017-2018: Teaching Dataset

User guide

Author: Patty Doran

Version: 1.0

Date: October 2020

Contents

Background to the Crime Survey for England and Wales (CSEW)	3
Crime Survey for England and Wales (CSEW) 2017-18 Teaching Dataset	4
How to obtain the CSEW 2017-2018 Teaching Dataset	5
Notes for teachers	5
List of variables in the CSEW 2017-2018 Teaching Dataset	6
Codebook	10
Code for additional variables	37

Background to the Crime Survey for England and Wales (CSEW)

The Crime Survey for England and Wales (CSEW) is a face-to-face victimisation survey in which people resident in households in England and Wales are asked about their experiences of a range of crimes in the 12 months prior to the interview. Respondents to the survey are also asked about their perceptions of crime and attitudes towards crime related issues such as the police and criminal justice system.

Previously known as the *British Crime Survey* (BCS), the survey was first conducted in 1982. In 2001, the then BCS, moved to an annual format with continuous sampling. The survey now only covers England and Wales with separate surveys of Scotland and Northern Ireland. However, the first and third surveys were carried out in England, Wales and Scotland (hence 'British' Crime Survey).

The CSEW uses the Postcode Address File (PAF) to select a representative sample of households in England and Wales. The CSEW does not cover the population living in group residences (such as halls of residence and prisons) or other institutions, nor does it cover crime against commercial or public sector bodies.

The core sample size has increased over the years from around 11,000 in the earlier cycles to 34,715 in the 2017-18 CSEW.

Fieldwork

At each sampled address the interviewer establishes that the address is eligible; ineligible addresses include vacant properties, second homes, non-residential addresses and establishments where people are living in group residences. If one PAF address leads to two households, the interviewer randomly selects which household to approach. Once the household is determined to be eligible, individuals aged 16 or over in the selected household are listed and one adult is randomly selected for interview. No substitutes are permitted.

Face-to-face interviews are carried out using computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) where interviewers record responses to the questionnaire on tablet computers. Self-completion modules are used in the CSEW to collect information on topic areas that respondents could feel uncomfortable talking about to an interviewer.

The questionnaire

The CSEW questionnaire consists of question modules such as victimisation, anti-social behaviour and demographic characteristics of the respondent and household.

Within modules there can also be further filtering, so that some questions are only asked of smaller sub-samples. Respondents are randomly allocated into one of four sub-samples, A, B, C or D which each represent around a quarter of the overall sample.

Further information about CSEW can be found on the [Office for National Statistics' Crime Survey for England and Wales webpage](#).

Crime Survey for England and Wales (CSEW) 2017-18 Teaching Dataset

This teaching dataset is based on the [Crime Survey for England and Wales 2017-2018](#) (ref: Office for National Statistics. (2019). *Crime Survey for England and Wales 2017-2018*. [data collection]. UK Data Service. SN: 8464, <http://doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-8464-1>).

It contains data for all 34,715 cases from the CSEW 2017-2018 (adult non-victim form dataset) for a selection of variables.

Variables

The data contains 114 variables covering the following topics:

- demographic details
- perceptions of crime
- experience of crime
- antisocial behaviour
- attitudes towards the Criminal Justice System

Most variables are individual variables, and require individual based analysis. Household-level variables include the number of adults (*nadults*) and children (*nchil2*). There is a mix of discrete and continuous variables. A full list of variable names and labels is on page 6 and variable frequencies are provided from page 10. Most of the variables come directly from the CSEW 2017-18 dataset deposited at the UK Data Service. The documentation for the CSEW 2017-2018 includes a copy of the [questionnaire](#).

Derived variables

The dataset contains new continuous variables for teaching and learning. They each provide measures of key concepts and have been derived from multiple variables from the CSEW 2017-18. Principal Components Analysis (PCA) was used to create the variables, PCA is a form of multivariate analysis where variables are simplified through reduction to components. For example, in this teaching dataset *worryx*, measures worry about crime (with a higher score indicating a higher level of worry). This new general measure was derived using five variables relating to worry about specific types of crimes (*wburgl wmugged wrapped wattack wraceatt*). The first component produced by the PCA (that contains most of the variation from the original variables) was used to create *worryx*. All of the original variables used to create the new continuous variables are also in the teaching dataset.

The dataset also contains the following changes from the original CSEW dataset.

1. The variables measuring the deprivation of respondents' local area are deprivation quintiles, which rank areas into five groups indicating the most to least deprived. These have been calculated from deprivation deciles in the main CSEW 2017-2018 dataset.
2. The original CSEW weighting variables for individual level analyses (C11IndivWgt) and household level analysis (C11HhdWgt), which adjusts for unequal probabilities and non-response, have been scaled to have a mean of 1.

All variables created specifically for this dataset are suffixed with an x and the SYNTAX used to create the extra variables from the original crime survey can be found on page 37.

How to obtain the CSEW 2017-2018 Teaching Dataset

To access the CSEW 2017-2018 Teaching Dataset data, you must login/register with the UK Data Service. All users, including those outside the UK, can obtain a login – see login and registration help for details, including what to do if you have forgotten your login details. Registered users can download/order the dataset direct from the UK Data Service website via its catalogue search engine, or via the CSEW series page found under Get data > Key data.

The Teaching Dataset is available in three formats: SPSS and Stata and TAB.

Weighting the dataset

The Teaching Dataset contains an individual level weight called *IndivWgtx* and a household weight called *HhdWgtx*. Weights adjust for unequal selection probabilities and non-response and users of the data should use the appropriate weight.

Missing values within the dataset

Don't know, refusal and not classified

When asked a question, survey respondents may respond 'do not know' or refuse to answer. Such responses are recorded using specific codes; for example, don't know is often coded as 9. It is useful to get variable frequencies first in any analysis to examine the distribution of responses and the proportion of 'don't know' and 'refusal' responses. In most analysis, 'refusal' codes are excluded. 'Don't know' codes are also usually excluded unless there is interest in 'don't know' responses such as in the case of attitudinal questions. In the SPSS version of this teaching dataset do not know responses and refusals are set as missing values. For some categorical variables (derived in the original dataset) there is a 'not classified' missing value of -1. As appropriate, check that all relevant codes are set to missing before you conduct your analysis.

System missing

Variables within the dataset can contain empty cells where no data is recorded. In SPSS and STATA empty cell are automatically coded as 'system missing'. In R 'system missing' data are denoted by NA. For some variables in the dataset, only a sub-set of respondents were asked the question due to the modular design of the survey (see the discussion of the Questionnaire above). Where the question was not asked, a system missing response is recorded and therefore some variables have a large number of system missing responses.

Notes for teachers

This dataset has been designed for teaching purposes only. As the data comes from [Crime Survey for England and Wales 2017-2018](#) weighted analyses can be taken to be representative of England and Wales as a whole. Students may therefore use these results to discuss crime-related statistics and attitudes to the Criminal Justice System at the national level in their reports while they learn about statistics, statistics packages and large-scale national surveys.

Please use the original for all non-teaching purposes. The full datasets are available for download (after registration) from the UK Data Service website: www.ukdataservice.ac.uk.

The Teaching Dataset is available under the End User Licence. For more information about making it available to your students, see the pages about using teaching data with your class here: <https://www.ukdataservice.ac.uk/use-data/teaching.aspx>.

List of variables in the CSEW 2017-2018 Teaching Dataset

Variable names and labels correspond to the main CSEW 2017-2018 dataset, where applicable. Variables derived for this teaching dataset are suffixed with an 'x'. For variables from question modules asked to only specific sub-samples, the sub-samples are indicated in brackets after the variable label.

Name	Label	Type
rowlabel	Case identifier (9 digits)	Nominal
serial	Serial number (6 digits)	Nominal
split	Follow-up module split	Nominal
nadults	Number of adults in household	Nominal
sex	Adult number 1 (respondent): Sex	Nominal
age	Adult number 1 (respondent): Age	Continuous
ysarea	How long lived in this area	Ordinal
mthsaddr	How many months lived at this address	Continuous
resyrago	Living at this address 12 months ago or not	Nominal
cartot	How many cars owned or used for most of last year	Ordinal
unoccl	How long home is left unoccupied on an average weekday	Ordinal
pubeve	How often have you visited a pub or bar in the last month	Ordinal
genhealt	How is your health in general	Ordinal
work2	Any paid work in last week	Nominal
govtsch2	On a government scheme for employment training	Nominal
ownbus2	Any UNPAID work for business owned	Nominal
infstdy2	Are you a full-time student at college or university	Nominal
jobever2	Have you ever had a paid job	Nominal
whenlft	In what year left last job	Nominal
selfemp3	Working as an employee or self-employed	Nominal
ftpt	Working full or part time	Nominal
nation	Adult respondent nationality	Nominal
cry2	Adult respondent country of birth	Nominal
came	Year adult respondent first came to stay in this country	Nominal
relig3	Adult respondent religious group	Nominal
tenure1	In which way do you occupy this accommodation	Nominal
rent2	Who is your landlord	Nominal
hominsur	Are the contents of your home insured	Nominal
persinc	What is your personal (and partners) gross income	Ordinal
tothhin2	Which is the gross income of the household AS A WHOLE	Ordinal
inner	Inner city PSU or not	Nominal
rural3	Rural or Urban Area (2011 Census definition)	Nominal
accharm1	ONS harmonised accommodation type	Nominal
nchil2	Children in household identifier	Nominal
livharm1a	Respondent marital status	Nominal

tenharm	ONS Harmonised Tenure type	Nominal
struct3	Structure of household	Nominal
rlstweek	Respondent economic status in last week	Nominal
agegrp7	Age group (7 bands)	Ordinal
ethgrp2a	Ethnic Group (5 categories)	Nominal
ethgrp5a	Ethnic Group (5 categories - harmonised)	Nominal
illONS	Disability/long-standing illness ONS (3 categories)	Nominal
educat3	Respondent education (5 categories)	Nominal
remploya	Respondent employment status	Nominal
rnssec8a	Adult respondent Socio-Economic Classification (NS-SEC)	Nominal
h10sec6a	Occupation coding of HRP (6 categories)	Nominal
club3	No. visits to nightclub/disco last month	Nominal
emdiqu15x	English Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015 (quintiles)	Nominal
wmdiqu14x	Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation 2014 (quintiles)	Nominal

Experiences and perception of crime

qualif2	How much is YOUR OWN quality of life affected by crime	Ordinal
quallife	How much is YOUR OWN quality of life affected by fear of crime	Ordinal
walkdark	How safe do you feel walking alone in this area after dark (Module D)	Ordinal
wburgl	How worried about having your home broken into (Module C)	Ordinal
wmugged	How worried about being mugged and robbed (Module C)	Ordinal
wcarstol	How worried about having car stolen (Module B)	Ordinal
wfromcar	How worried about having things stolen from your car (Module B)	Ordinal
wraped	How worried about being raped (Module C)	Ordinal
wattack	How worried about being physically attacked by strangers (Module C)	Ordinal
wraceatt	How worried about being attacked because of skin colour, ethnic origin or religion (Module C)	Ordinal
mottheft	If vehicle stolen or driven away without permission (Module C)	Nominal
motstole	If something stolen off or out of vehicle (Module C)	Nominal
cardamag	If vehicle tampered with or damaged (Module C)	Nominal
homethef	If anyone got into current residence to steal/try to steal	Nominal
yrhotry	If anyone tried to get into current residence to steal/cause damage	Nominal
yrhostol	If anything was stolen out of current residence	Nominal
yrdeface	If anything was damaged outside current residence	Nominal
persthef	If anything was stolen out of hands, pockets or bag	Nominal
delibdam	If personal items have been deliberately damaged	Nominal
delibvio	If anyone has deliberately used force/violence on adult respondent	Nominal
ndelibv	How many times has this happened (Delibvio)	Continuous
threviol2	If anyone has threatened to damage things/use force or violence	Nominal
sexattak	If adult respondent has been sexually assaulted or attacked	Nominal
hhldviol	If member of household has used force or violence on adult respondent	Nominal
worryx	Worry about being a victim of crime (high score = high level of worry)	Continuous

Attitudes to the Criminal Justice System

cjspolb	How confident are you that the police are effective at catching criminals? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
cjscpsb	How confident are you that the Crown Prosecution Service is effective at prosecuting people accused of committing a crime? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
cjs crt2a	How confident are you that the Courts are effective at dealing with cases promptly? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
cjs crt2b	How confident are you that the Courts are effective at giving punishments which fit the crime? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
cjsps1b	How confident are you that prisons are effective at punishing offenders who have been convicted of a crime? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
cjsps2b	How confident are you that prisons are effective at rehabilitating offenders who have been convicted of a crime? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
cjsprb	How confident are you that the probation service is effective at preventing criminals from re-offending? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
cjs ovb1	How confident are you that the Criminal Justice System as a whole is effective? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
fairatt1	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System gives victims and witnesses the support they need? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
fairatt2	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System treats those who have been accused of a crime as 'innocent until proven guilty'? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
fairatt3	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System takes into account the views of victims and witnesses? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
fairatt4	How much do you agree or disagree that when handing out sentences the Criminal Justice System takes into account the circumstances surrounding the crime? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
fairatt5	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System is too soft on those accused of committing a crime? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
fairatt6	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System achieves the correct balance between the rights of the offender and the rights of the victim? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
fairatt7	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System discriminates against particular groups or individuals? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
fairova1	How confident are you that the Criminal Justice System as a whole is fair? (Module A and B)	Ordinal
ratpol2	How good a job are the police IN THIS AREA doing	Ordinal
polatt1	The police in this area can be relied on to be there when you need them	Ordinal
polatt2	The police in this area would treat you with respect if you had contact with them	Ordinal
polatt3	The police in this area treat everyone fairly regardless of who they are	Ordinal
polatt5	The police in this area understand the issues that affect this community	Ordinal
polatt6	The police in this area are dealing with the things that matter to this community	Ordinal
polatt7	Taking everything into account I have confidence in the police in this area	Ordinal
ratpol3	Public satisfaction with the police	Nominal
effectx	Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System (high score = high opinion)	Continuous
fairx	Fairness of Criminal Justice System (high score=high opinion)	Continuous
confx	Confidence in police in their neighbourhood (high score=high level of confidence)	Continuous

Anti-social behaviour

rubbcomm	In the immediate area, how common is litter or rubbish lying around?	Ordinal
vandcomm	How common is vandalism, graffiti or deliberate damage to property?	Ordinal

poorhou	How common are homes in poor condition/ run down?	Ordinal
noisneig	How much of a problem are noisy neighbours or parties (Module A)	Ordinal
teenhang	How much of a problem are teenagers hanging around (Module A)	Ordinal
rubbish	How much of a problem is rubbish or litter (Module A)	Ordinal
vandals	How much of a problem is vandalism, graffiti etc. (Module A)	Ordinal
druguse	How much of a problem are people using or dealing drugs (Module A)	Ordinal
drunk	How much of a problem are people being drunk or rowdy (Module A)	Ordinal
abancar	How much of a problem are abandoned or burnt out cars (Module A)	Ordinal
antisocx	Anti-social behaviour in their neighbourhood (high score = high levels of anti- social behaviour)	Continuous

Codebook

rowlabel

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	1
	Label	Case identifier (9 digits)
	Measurement	Nominal

serial

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	2
	Label	Serial number (6 digits)
	Measurement	Nominal

split

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	3		
	Label	Follow-up module split		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	A (Experience of the police)	9057	26.1%
	2	B (Attitudes to the Criminal Justice System)	8778	25.3%
	3	C (Crime prevention)	8564	24.7%
	4	D (Online security)	8316	24.0%

nadults

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	4		
	Label	Number of adults in household		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1		6151	17.7%
	2		18537	53.4%
	3		5637	16.2%
	4		3094	8.9%
	5		951	2.7%
	6		247	0.7%
	7		67	0.2%
	8		18	0.1%
	9		5	0.0%
	10		8	0.0%

sex

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	5		
	Label	Adult number 1 (respondent): Sex		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	Male	17006	49.0%
	2	Female	17709	51.0%

age

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	6		
	Label	Adult number 1 (respondent): Age		
N	Measurement	Scale		
	Valid	34715		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Missing	0		
	Mean	47.46		
Labeled Values	Standard Deviation	18.588		
	80	80 years and older	2038	5.9%
	998	Refused	0	0.0%
	999	Don't know	0	0.0%

yrsarea

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	7		
	Label	How long lived in this area		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Less than 12 months	2328	6.7%
	2	12 months but less than 2 years	2056	5.9%
	3	2 years but less than 3 years	2076	6.0%
	4	3 years but less than 5 years	2802	8.1%
	5	5 years but less than 10 years	4195	12.1%
	6	10 years but less than 20 years	7424	21.4%
	7	20 years or longer	13823	39.8%
Missing Values	8	Refused	10	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1	0.0%

mthsaddr

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	8		
	Label	How many months lived at this address		
N	Measurement	Scale		
	Valid	6249		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Missing	28466		
	Mean	10.12		
Labeled Values	Standard Deviation	6.304		
	98	Refused	1	0.0%
	99	Don't know	4	0.0%

resyrago

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	9		
	Label	Living at this address 12 months ago or not		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	2677	7.7%
	2	No	3576	10.3%
Missing Values	System		28462	82.0%

cartot

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	10		
	Label	How many cars owned or used for most of last year		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	0		208	0.6%
	1		13165	37.9%
	2		10869	31.3%
	3		2979	8.6%
	4		980	2.8%
	5		304	0.9%
	6		112	0.3%
	7		36	0.1%
	8		21	0.1%
	9		4	0.0%
	10		16	0.0%
Missing Values	98	Refused	1	0.0%
	99	Don't know	2	0.0%
	System		6019	17.3%

qualif2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	11		
	Label	How much is YOUR OWN quality of life affected by crime		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	1 - No effect	2162	6.2%
	2	2	760	2.2%
	3	3	465	1.3%
	4	4	245	0.7%
	5	5	317	0.9%
	6	6	117	0.3%
	7	7	129	0.4%
	8	8	89	0.3%
	9	9	32	0.1%
	10	10 - Total effect	62	0.2%
Missing Values	98	Refused	1	0.0%
	99	Don't know	12	0.0%
	System		30323	87.3%

quallife

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	12		
	Label	How much is YOUR OWN quality of life affected by fear of crime		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	1 - No effect	1397	4.0%
	2	2	811	2.3%
	3	3	553	1.6%
	4	4	232	0.7%
	5	5	413	1.2%
	6	6	150	0.4%
	7	7	146	0.4%
	8	8	135	0.4%
	9	9	29	0.1%
	10	10 - Total effect	45	0.1%
Missing Values	98	Refused	2	0.0%
	99	Don't know	10	0.0%
	System		30791	88.7%

walkdark

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	13		
	Label	How safe do you feel walking alone in this area after dark		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very safe	2862	8.2%
	2	Fairly safe	3347	9.6%
	3	A bit unsafe	1470	4.2%
Missing Values	4	Very unsafe	575	1.7%
	8	Refused	5	0.0%
	9	Don't know	55	0.2%
System			26399	76.0%

wburgl

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	14		
	Label	How worried about having your home broken into		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very worried	860	2.5%
	2	Fairly worried	2213	6.4%
	3	Not very worried	4175	12.0%
Missing Values	4	Not at all worried	1305	3.8%
	5	(Not applicable)	4	0.0%
	8	Refused	4	0.0%
System		Don't know	3	0.0%
			26151	75.3%

wmugged

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	15		
	Label	How worried about being mugged and robbed		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very worried	746	2.1%
	2	Fairly worried	1526	4.4%
	3	Not very worried	4264	12.3%
Missing Values	4	Not at all worried	2009	5.8%
	5	(Not applicable)	7	0.0%
	8	Refused	4	0.0%
System		Don't know	9	0.0%
			26151	75.3%

wcarstol

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	16		
	Label	How worried about having car stolen		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very worried	437	1.3%
	2	Fairly worried	1201	3.5%
	3	Not very worried	3698	10.7%
Missing Values	4	Not at all worried	1803	5.2%
	5	(Not applicable)	153	0.4%
	8	Refused	0	0.0%
System		Don't know	5	0.0%
			27417	79.0%

wfromcar

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	17		
	Label	How worried about having things stolen from your car		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very worried	443	1.3%
	2	Fairly worried	1474	4.2%
	3	Not very worried	3537	10.2%
	4	Not at all worried	1677	4.8%
Missing Values	5	(Not applicable)	11	0.0%
	8	Refused	0	0.0%
	9	Don't know	3	0.0%
System			27570	79.4%

wraped

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	18		
	Label	How worried about being raped		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very worried	681	2.0%
	2	Fairly worried	657	1.9%
	3	Not very worried	2846	8.2%
	4	Not at all worried	4250	12.2%
Missing Values	5	(Not applicable)	104	0.3%
	8	Refused	11	0.0%
	9	Don't know	14	0.0%
System			26151	75.3%

wattack

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	19		
	Label	How worried about being physically attacked by strangers		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very worried	732	2.1%
	2	Fairly worried	1470	4.2%
	3	Not very worried	4144	11.9%
	4	Not at all worried	2199	6.3%
Missing Values	5	(Not applicable)	8	0.0%
	8	Refused	4	0.0%
	9	Don't know	8	0.0%
System			26151	75.3%

wraceatt

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	20		
	Label	How worried about being attacked because of skin colour, ethnic origin or religion		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very worried	413	1.2%
	2	Fairly worried	639	1.8%
	3	Not very worried	2739	7.9%
	4	Not at all worried	4710	13.6%
Missing Values	5	(Not applicable)	53	0.2%
	8	Refused	4	0.0%
	9	Don't know	6	0.0%
System			26151	75.3%

noisneig

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	21		
	Label	How much of a problem are noisy neighbours or parties		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very big problem	247	0.7%
	2	Fairly big problem	621	1.8%
	3	Not a very big problem	2801	8.1%
Missing Values	4	Not a problem at all	5381	15.5%
	8	Refused	3	0.0%
	9	Don't know	4	0.0%
System			25658	73.9%

teenhang

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	22		
	Label	How much of a problem are teenagers hanging around		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very big problem	380	1.1%
	2	Fairly big problem	1110	3.2%
	3	Not a very big problem	3242	9.3%
Missing Values	4	Not a problem at all	4303	12.4%
	8	Refused	4	0.0%
	9	Don't know	20	0.1%
System			25658	73.9%

rubbish

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	23		
	Label	How much of a problem is rubbish or litter		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very big problem	862	2.5%
	2	Fairly big problem	1874	5.4%
	3	Not a very big problem	3621	10.4%
Missing Values	4	Not a problem at all	2692	7.8%
	8	Refused	4	0.0%
	9	Don't know	4	0.0%
System			25658	73.9%

vandals

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributescla	Position	24		
	Label	How much of a problem is vandalism, graffiti etc.		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very big problem	282	0.8%
	2	Fairly big problem	1084	3.1%
	3	Not a very big problem	3627	10.4%
Missing Values	4	Not a problem at all	4026	11.6%
	8	Refused	4	0.0%
	9	Don't know	35	0.1%
System			25658	73.9%

druguse

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	25		
	Label	How much of a problem are people using or dealing drugs		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very big problem	699	2.0%
	2	Fairly big problem	1318	3.8%
	3	Not a very big problem	2434	7.0%
	4	Not a problem at all	4148	11.9%
Missing Values	8	Refused	4	0.0%
	9	Don't know	455	1.3%
System			25658	73.9%

drunk

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	26		
	Label	How much of a problem are people being drunk or rowdy		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very big problem	337	1.0%
	2	Fairly big problem	1145	3.3%
	3	Not a very big problem	3490	10.1%
	4	Not a problem at all	4026	11.6%
Missing Values	8	Refused	4	0.0%
	9	Don't know	55	0.2%
System			25658	73.9%

abancar

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	27		
	Label	How much of a problem are abandoned or burnt out cars		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very big problem	48	0.1%
	2	Fairly big problem	201	0.6%
	3	Not a very big problem	1578	4.5%
	4	Not a problem at all	7203	20.7%
Missing Values	8	Refused	4	0.0%
	9	Don't know	24	0.1%
System			25658	73.9%

unoccl

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	28		
	Label	How long home is left unoccupied on an average weekday		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Rarely	1543	4.4%
	2	Less than 1 hour	1250	3.6%
	3	1 hour or more but less than 3	7654	22.0%
	4	3 hours or more but less than 5	5545	16.0%
	5	5 hours or more but less than 7	3676	10.6%
	6	7 hours or more	8561	24.7%
Missing Values	8	Refused	32	0.1%
	9	Don't know	100	0.3%
System			6353	18.3%

pubeve

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	29		
	Label	How often have you visited a pub or bar in the last month		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	None	17238	49.7%
	2	Between 1 and 3 times (Less than once a week)	10793	31.1%
	3	Between 4 and 8 times (Once to twice a week)	5112	14.7%
	4	Between 9 and 12 times (About 3 times a week)	913	2.6%
Missing Values	5	More than 12 times (Almost every day)	633	1.8%
	8	Refused	21	0.1%
	9	Don't know	5	0.0%

mottheft

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	30		
	Label	If vehicle stolen or driven away without permission		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	185	0.5%
	2	No	28674	82.6%
Missing Values	8	Refused	3	0.0%
	9	Don't know	4	0.0%
	System		5848	16.8%

motstole

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	31		
	Label	If something stolen off or out of vehicle		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	928	2.7%
	2	No	27928	80.4%
Missing Values	8	Refused	3	0.0%
	9	Don't know	8	0.0%
	System		5848	16.8%

cardamag

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	32		
	Label	If vehicle tampered with or damaged		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	1462	4.2%
	2	No	27355	78.8%
Missing Values	8	Refused	3	0.0%
	9	Don't know	47	0.1%
	System		5848	16.8%

homethef

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	33		
	Label	If anyone got into current residence to steal/try to steal		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	17	0.0%
	2	No	3553	10.2%
Missing Values	8	Refused	0	0.0%
	9	Don't know	7	0.0%
	System		31139	89.7%

yrhotry

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	34		
	Label	If anyone tried to get into current residence to steal/cause damage		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	331	1.0%
	2	No	34341	98.9%
Missing Values	8	Refused	8	0.0%
	9	Don't know	35	0.1%

yrhostol

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	35		
	Label	If anything was stolen out of current residence		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	89	0.3%
	2	No	34615	99.7%
Missing Values	8	Refused	8	0.0%
	9	Don't know	3	0.0%

yrdeface

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	36		
	Label	If anything was damaged outside current residence		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	466	1.3%
	2	No	34224	98.6%
Missing Values	8	Refused	8	0.0%
	9	Don't know	17	0.0%

persthief

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	37		
	Label	If anything was stolen out of hands, pockets or bag		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	349	1.0%
	2	No	34349	98.9%
Missing Values	8	Refused	8	0.0%
	9	Don't know	9	0.0%

delibdam

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	38		
	Label	If personal items have been deliberately damaged		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	136	0.4%
	2	No	34563	99.6%
Missing Values	8	Refused	8	0.0%
	9	Don't know	7	0.0%

delibvio

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	39		
	Label	If anyone has deliberately used force/violence on adult respondent		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	536	1.5%
	2	No	34163	98.4%
Missing Values	8	Refused	12	0.0%
	9	Don't know	4	0.0%

ndelibv

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	40		
	Label	How many times has this happened (Delibvio)		
N	Measurement	Scale		
	Valid	531		
	Missing	34184		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.68		
	Standard Deviation	8.929		
Labeled Values	96	More than 95	0	0.0%
	97	Too many to remember	4	0.0%
	98	Refused	0	0.0%
	99	Don't know	5	0.0%

threviol2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	41		
	Label	If anyone has threatened to damage things/use force or violence		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	1199	3.5%
	2	No	33497	96.5%
Missing Values	8	Refused	13	0.0%
	9	Don't know	6	0.0%

sexattak

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	42		
	Label	If adult respondent has been sexually assaulted or attacked		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	98	0.3%
	2	No	34488	99.3%
Missing Values	8	Refused	96	0.3%
	9	Don't know	33	0.1%

hhldviol

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	43		
	Label	If member of household has used force or violence on adult respondent		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	56	0.2%
	2	No	28938	83.4%
Missing Values	8	Refused	89	0.3%
	9	Don't know	34	0.1%
	System		5599	16.1%

cjspolb

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	44		
	Label	How confident are you that the police are effective at catching criminals?		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very confident	1026	3.0%
	2	Fairly confident	6482	18.7%
	3	Not very confident	2751	7.9%
	4	Not at all confident	570	1.6%
Missing Values	8	Refused	5	0.0%
	9	Don't know	405	1.2%
	System		23477	67.6%

cjscpsb

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	45		
	Label	How confident are you that the Crown Prosecution Service is effective at prosecuting people accused of committing a crime?		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very confident	823	2.4%
	2	Fairly confident	5463	15.7%
	3	Not very confident	2988	8.6%
	4	Not at all confident	874	2.5%
Missing Values	8	Refused	6	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1084	3.1%
	System		23477	67.6%

cjsct2a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	46		
	Label	How confident are you that the Courts are effective at dealing with cases promptly?		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very confident	645	1.9%
	2	Fairly confident	4268	12.3%
	3	Not very confident	3487	10.0%
	4	Not at all confident	1069	3.1%
Missing Values	8	Refused	6	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1764	5.1%
	System		23477	67.6%

cjsct2b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	47		
	Label	How confident are you that the Courts are effective at giving punishments which fit the crime?		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very confident	576	1.7%
	2	Fairly confident	3555	10.2%
	3	Not very confident	4026	11.6%
	4	Not at all confident	2275	6.6%
Missing Values	8	Refused	7	0.0%
	9	Don't know	798	2.3%
	System		23477	67.6%

cjsps1b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	48		
	Label	How confident are you that prisons are effective at punishing offenders who have been convicted of a crime?		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	Very confident	483	1.4%
	2	Fairly confident	3106	8.9%
	3	Not very confident	3904	11.2%
	4	Not at all confident	2526	7.3%
Missing Values	8	Refused	11	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1207	3.5%
	System		23477	67.6%

cjsps2b

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	49		
	Label	How confident are you that prisons are effective at rehabilitating offenders who have been convicted of a crime?		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	Very confident	223	0.6%
	2	Fairly confident	2149	6.2%
	3	Not very confident	4421	12.7%
	4	Not at all confident	2947	8.5%
Missing Values	8	Refused	6	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1492	4.3%
	System		23477	67.6%

cjsprb

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	50		
	Label	How confident are you that the probation service is effective at preventing criminals from re-offending?		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	Very confident	223	0.6%
	2	Fairly confident	2547	7.3%
	3	Not very confident	4319	12.4%
	4	Not at all confident	1869	5.4%
Missing Values	8	Refused	7	0.0%
	9	Don't know	2274	6.5%
	System		23477	67.6%

cjsovb1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	51		
	Label	How confident are you that the Criminal Justice System as a whole is effective?		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	Very confident	490	1.4%
	2	Fairly confident	5101	14.7%
	3	Not very confident	3950	11.4%
	4	Not at all confident	1044	3.0%
Missing Values	8	Refused	5	0.0%
	9	Don't know	648	1.9%
	System		23477	67.6%

fairatt1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	52		
	Label	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System gives victims and witnesses the support they need?		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	Strongly agree	631	1.8%
	2	Tend to agree	5415	15.6%
	3	Tend to disagree	2348	6.8%
	4	Strongly disagree	549	1.6%
Missing Values	8	Refused	4	0.0%
	9	Don't know	2290	6.6%
	System		23477	67.6%

fairatt2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	53		
	Label	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System treats those who have been accused of a crime as 'innocent until proven guilty'?		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	Strongly agree	1392	4.0%
	2	Tend to agree	6070	17.5%
	3	Tend to disagree	1779	5.1%
	4	Strongly disagree	447	1.3%
Missing Values	8	Refused	7	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1542	4.4%
	System		23477	67.6%

fairatt3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	54		
	Label	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System takes into account the views of victims and witnesses?		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	Strongly agree	1143	3.3%
	2	Tend to agree	6503	18.7%
	3	Tend to disagree	1560	4.5%
	4	Strongly disagree	355	1.0%
Missing Values	8	Refused	8	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1670	4.8%
	System		23477	67.6%

fairatt4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	55		
	Label	How much do you agree or disagree that when handing out sentences the Criminal Justice System takes into account the circumstances surrounding the crime?		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	Strongly agree	950	2.7%
	2	Tend to agree	6023	17.4%
	3	Tend to disagree	1855	5.3%
	4	Strongly disagree	531	1.5%
Missing Values	8	Refused	7	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1872	5.4%
	System		23477	67.6%

fairatt5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	56		
	Label	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System is too soft on those accused of committing a crime?		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Strongly agree	2605	7.5%
	2	Tend to agree	4164	12.0%
	3	Tend to disagree	2605	7.5%
Missing Values	4	Strongly disagree	446	1.3%
	8	Refused	7	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1411	4.1%
System			23477	67.6%

fairatt6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	57		
	Label	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System achieves the correct balance between the rights of the offender and the rights of the victim?		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Strongly agree	422	1.2%
	2	Tend to agree	4231	12.2%
	3	Tend to disagree	3465	10.0%
Missing Values	4	Strongly disagree	1122	3.2%
	8	Refused	7	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1991	5.7%
System			23477	67.6%

fairatt7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	58		
	Label	How much do you agree or disagree that the Criminal Justice System discriminates against particular groups or individuals?		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Strongly agree	646	1.9%
	2	Tend to agree	2874	8.3%
	3	Tend to disagree	3968	11.4%
Missing Values	4	Strongly disagree	1695	4.9%
	8	Refused	8	0.0%
	9	Don't know	2047	5.9%
System			23477	67.6%

fairova1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	59		
	Label	How confident are you that the Criminal Justice System as a whole is fair?		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very confident	693	2.0%
	2	Fairly confident	6572	18.9%
	3	Not very confident	2746	7.9%
Missing Values	4	Not at all confident	546	1.6%
	8	Refused	9	0.0%
	9	Don't know	671	1.9%
System			23477	67.6%

ratpol2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	60		
	Label	How good a job are the police IN THIS AREA doing		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Excellent	3651	10.5%
	2	Good	16758	48.3%
	3	Fair	9487	27.3%
	4	Poor	2438	7.0%
Missing Values	5	Very poor	759	2.2%
	8	Refused	14	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1605	4.6%
System			3	0.0%

polatt1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	61		
	Label	The police in this area can be relied on to be there when you need them		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Strongly agree	5309	15.3%
	2	Tend to agree	15531	44.7%
	3	Neither agree nor disagree	7554	21.8%
	4	Tend to disagree	3972	11.4%
Missing Values	5	Strongly disagree	1500	4.3%
	8	Refused	14	0.0%
	9	Don't know	832	2.4%
System			3	0.0%

polatt2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	62		
	Label	The police in this area would treat you with respect if you had contact with them		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Strongly agree	11595	33.4%
	2	Tend to agree	18518	53.3%
	3	Neither agree nor disagree	3029	8.7%
	4	Tend to disagree	791	2.3%
Missing Values	5	Strongly disagree	308	0.9%
	8	Refused	14	0.0%
	9	Don't know	457	1.3%
System			3	0.0%

polatt3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	63		
	Label	The police in this area treat everyone fairly regardless of who they are		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Strongly agree	6503	18.7%
	2	Tend to agree	16296	46.9%
	3	Neither agree nor disagree	7365	21.2%
	4	Tend to disagree	2414	7.0%
Missing Values	5	Strongly disagree	758	2.2%
	8	Refused	15	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1361	3.9%
System			3	0.0%

polatt5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	64		
	Label	The police in this area understand the issues that affect this community		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Strongly agree	5487	15.8%
	2	Tend to agree	17678	50.9%
	3	Neither agree nor disagree	7425	21.4%
	4	Tend to disagree	2077	6.0%
Missing Values	5	Strongly disagree	635	1.8%
	8	Refused	16	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1394	4.0%
System			3	0.0%

polatt6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	65		
	Label	The police in this area are dealing with the things that matter to this community		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Strongly agree	4083	11.8%
	2	Tend to agree	15578	44.9%
	3	Neither agree nor disagree	9053	26.1%
	4	Tend to disagree	3345	9.6%
Missing Values	5	Strongly disagree	1069	3.1%
	8	Refused	15	0.0%
	9	Don't know	1569	4.5%
System			3	0.0%

polatt7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	66		
	Label	Taking everything into account I have confidence in the police in this area		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Strongly agree	8423	24.3%
	2	Tend to agree	18449	53.1%
	3	Neither agree nor disagree	4415	12.7%
	4	Tend to disagree	2054	5.9%
Missing Values	5	Strongly disagree	949	2.7%
	8	Refused	16	0.0%
	9	Don't know	406	1.2%
System			3	0.0%

genhealt

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	67		
	Label	How is your health in general		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very good	11890	34.3%
	2	Good	15416	44.4%
	3	Fair	5470	15.8%
	4	Bad	1500	4.3%
Missing Values	5	Very bad	389	1.1%
	8	Refused	37	0.1%
	9	Don't know	8	0.0%
System			5	0.0%

work2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	68		
	Label	Any paid work in last week		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	20649	59.5%
Missing Values	2	No	14026	40.4%
	8	Refused	31	0.1%
	9	Don't know	4	0.0%
System			5	0.0%

govtsch2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	69		
	Label	On a government scheme for employment training		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	33	0.1%
Missing Values	2	No	13997	40.3%
	8	Refused	28	0.1%
	9	Don't know	3	0.0%
System			20654	59.5%

ownbus2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	70		
	Label	Any UNPAID work for business owned		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	125	0.4%
Missing Values	2	No	13150	37.9%
	8	Refused	25	0.1%
	9	Don't know	7	0.0%
System			21409	61.7%

infstdy2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	71		
	Label	Are you a full-time student at college or university		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes Full time	759	2.2%
Missing Values	2	Yes Part time	1059	3.0%
	3	No	19749	56.9%
	8	Refused	3	0.0%
	9	Don't know	3	0.0%
System			13143	37.9%

jobever2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	72		
	Label	Have you ever had a paid job		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Yes	10910	31.4%
Missing Values	2	No	2190	6.3%
	8	Refused	31	0.1%
	9	Don't know	8	0.0%
System			21577	62.2%

whenlft

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	73
	Label	In what year left last job
	Measurement	Nominal
Missing Values	9998	Refused
	9999	Don't know

selfemp3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	74		
	Label	Working as an employee or self-employed		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	Employee	27736	79.9%
	2	Self-employed	4663	13.4%
Missing Values	8	Refused	36	0.1%
	9	Don't know	47	0.1%
System			2233	6.4%

ftpt

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	75		
	Label	Working full or part time		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	Full-time	23826	68.6%
	2	Part-time	8608	24.8%
Missing Values	8	Refused	29	0.1%
	9	Don't know	19	0.1%
System			2233	6.4%

nation

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	76		
	Label	Adult respondent nationality		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	UK, British	22384	64.5%
	2	English	7392	21.3%
	3	Scottish	227	0.7%
	4	Welsh	1049	3.0%
	5	Northern Irish	47	0.1%
	6	Irish (Republic)	243	0.7%
	7	Other	3323	9.6%
Missing Values	8	Refused	37	0.1%
	9	Don't know	7	0.0%
System			5	0.0%

cry2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	77		
	Label	Adult respondent country of birth		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	England	26228	75.6%
	2	Scotland	484	1.4%
	3	Wales	1606	4.6%
	4	Northern Ireland	121	0.3%
	5	UK, Britain (don't know country)	236	0.7%
	6	Ireland (Republic)	215	0.6%
	7	Other	5773	16.6%
Missing Values	8	Refused	45	0.1%
	9	Don't know	2	0.0%
	System		5	0.0%

came

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	78
	Label	Year adult respondent first came to stay in this country
	Measurement	Nominal
Valid Values	9997	Been in country less than a year
Missing Values	9998	Refused
	9999	Don't know

relig3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	79		
	Label	Adult respondent religious group		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	No religion	13094	37.7%
	2	Christian (including C of E, RC and all other Christians)	18402	53.0%
	3	Buddhist	161	0.5%
	4	Hindu	605	1.7%
	5	Jewish	147	0.4%
	6	Muslim	1732	5.0%
	7	Sikh	275	0.8%
	8	Any other religion	211	0.6%
Missing Values	98	Refused	78	0.2%
	99	Don't know	6	0.0%
	System		5	0.0%

tenure1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	80		
	Label	In which way do you occupy this accommodation		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	Own it outright	11202	32.3%
	2	Buying it with the help of a mortgage or loan	10384	29.9%
	3	Pay part rent and part mortgage (shared ownership)	211	0.6%
	4	Rent it	11360	32.7%
	5	Live here rent free (inc. rent free in relative/friend's/squatting)	1347	3.9%
Missing Values	8	Refused	137	0.4%
	9	Don't know	68	0.2%
	System		5	0.0%

rent2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	81		
	Label	Who is your landlord		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	Local authority/council/new town development	2815	8.1%
	2	A housing association or charitable trust	2318	6.7%
	3	Employer (organisation) of a household member	92	0.3%
	4	Another organisation	265	0.8%
	5	Relative/friend (before you lived here) of a household member	1537	4.4%
	6	Employer (individual) of a household member	91	0.3%
Missing Values	7	Another individual private landlord	5756	16.6%
	8	Refused	8	0.0%
	9	Don't know	37	0.1%
System			21797	62.8%

hominsur

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	82		
	Label	Are the contents of your home insured		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	Yes	26513	76.4%
	2	No	7301	21.0%
Missing Values	8	Refused	66	0.2%
	9	Don't know	830	2.4%
System			5	0.0%

persinc

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	83		
	Label	What is your personal (and partners) gross income		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	A under £5,000	1145	3.3%
	2	B £5,000 - £9,999	2598	7.5%
	3	C £10,000 - £14,999	3378	9.7%
	4	D £15,000 - £19,999	3293	9.5%
	5	E £20,000 - £24,999	2941	8.5%
	6	F £25,000 - £29,999	2473	7.1%
	7	G £30,000 - £34,999	2024	5.8%
	8	H £35,000 - £39,999	1936	5.6%
	9	I £40,000 - £44,999	1474	4.2%
	10	J £45,000 - £49,999	1529	4.4%
	11	K £50,000 - £59,999	1749	5.0%
	12	L £60,000 - £69,999	1381	4.0%
	13	M £70,000 - £79,999	1030	3.0%
	14	N £80,000 or over	2562	7.4%
	15	SPONTANEOUS: Nothing/no work or scheme	433	1.2%
Missing Values	98	Refused	2588	7.5%
	99	Don't know	1953	5.6%
System			229	0.7%

tothhin2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	84		
	Label	Which is the gross income of the household AS A WHOLE		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	A under £5,000	30	0.1%
	2	B £5,000 - £9,999	81	0.2%
	3	C £10,000 - £14,999	229	0.7%
	4	D £15,000 - £19,999	352	1.0%
	5	E £20,000 - £24,999	371	1.1%
	6	F £25,000 - £29,999	372	1.1%
	7	G £30,000 - £34,999	384	1.1%
	8	H £35,000 - £39,999	382	1.1%
	9	I £40,000 - £44,999	387	1.1%
	10	J £45,000 - £49,999	359	1.0%
	11	K £50,000 - £59,999	463	1.3%
	12	L £60,000 - £69,999	385	1.1%
	13	M £70,000 - £79,999	366	1.1%
	14	N £80,000 or over	1084	3.1%
	15	SPONTANEOUS: Nothing/no work or scheme	41	0.1%
Missing Values	98	Refused	34	0.1%
	99	Don't know	1882	5.4%
	System		27512	79.3%

inner

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	85		
	Label	Inner city PSU or not		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	0	Not inner city	31119	89.6%
	1	Inner city	3596	10.4%

rural3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	86		
	Label	Rural or Urban Area (2011 Census definition)		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1	Rural	6666	19.2%
	2	Urban	28049	80.8%

rubbcomm

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	87		
	Label	In the immediate area, how common is litter or rubbish lying around?		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	1	Very common	652	1.9%
	2	Fairly common	3515	10.1%
	3	Not very common	12863	37.1%
	4	Not at all common	17619	50.8%
	5	Not coded	66	0.2%

vandcomm

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	88		
	Label	How common is vandalism, graffiti or deliberate damage to property?		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very common	127	0.4%
	2	Fairly common	1030	3.0%
	3	Not very common	10121	29.2%
	4	Not at all common	23347	67.3%
	5	Not coded	90	0.3%

poorhou

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	89		
	Label	How common are homes in poor condition/ run down?		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	Very common	220	0.6%
	2	Fairly common	2004	5.8%
	3	Not very common	11995	34.6%
	4	Not at all common	20424	58.8%
	5	Not coded	72	0.2%

accharm1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	90		
	Label	ONS harmonised accommodation type		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Detached house	8611	24.8%
	2	Semi-detached house	10729	30.9%
	3	Terraced house	10450	30.1%
	4	Maisonette	342	1.0%
	5	Purpose built flat	3583	10.3%
	6	Converted flat	857	2.5%
	7	Other	51	0.1%
Missing Values	-1	Not classified	92	0.3%

nchil2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	91		
	Label	Children in household identifier		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	0	No children in household	24482	70.5%
	1	Children in household	10233	29.5%

livharm1a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	92		
	Label	Respondent marital status		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Married/civil partnered	17310	49.9%
	2	Cohabiting	4287	12.3%
	3	Single	8621	24.8%
	4	Separated	644	1.9%
	5	Divorced/Legally dissolved partnership	1812	5.2%
Missing Values	6	Widowed	1987	5.7%
	-1	Not classified	54	0.2%

tenharm

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	93		
	Label	ONS Harmonised Tenure type		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Owners	21798	62.8%
	2	Social rented sector	4990	14.4%
	3	Private rented sector	7674	22.1%
Missing Values	-1	Not classified	253	0.7%

struct3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	94		
	Label	Structure of household		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	No children	13804	39.8%
	2	Children	9001	25.9%
	3	Lone parent	911	2.6%
	4	Household reference person aged 60 plus	10848	31.2%
Missing Values	-1	Not classified	151	0.4%

rlstweek

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	95		
	Label	Respondent economic status in last week		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Paid work	20646	59.5%
	2	Government training scheme	33	0.1%
	3	Away from job/waiting to take job up	723	2.1%
	4	Unpaid work	167	0.5%
	5	Looking for work	631	1.8%
	6	Student	1495	4.3%
	7	Looking after family/home	1676	4.8%
	8	Temporarily sick/ill	117	0.3%
	9	Long-term sick/ill	1090	3.1%
	10	Retired	7667	22.1%
	11	Other	429	1.2%
Missing Values	-1	Not classified	42	0.1%

agegrp7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	96		
	Label	Age group (7 bands)		
Valid Values	Measurement	Ordinal		
	1	16-24	4527	13.0%
	2	25-34	5917	17.0%
	3	35-44	5498	15.8%
	4	45-54	6056	17.4%
	5	55-64	5045	14.5%
	6	65-74	4312	12.4%
	7	75+	3361	9.7%

ethgrp2a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	97		
	Label	Ethnic Group (5 categories)		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	White	30011	86.5%
	2	Mixed	431	1.2%
	3	Asian or Asian British	2564	7.4%
	4	Black or Black British	1072	3.1%
Missing Values	5	Chinese or Other	581	1.7%
	System		55	0.2%

ethgrp5a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	98		
	Label	Ethnic Group (5 categories - harmonised)		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	White	30011	86.5%
	2	Mixed	431	1.2%
	3	Asian or Asian British	2564	7.4%
	4	Black or Black British	1072	3.1%
Missing Values	5	Chinese or Other	581	1.7%
	6	Not stated	51	0.1%
	System		5	0.0%

illONS

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	99		
	Label	Disability/long-standing illness ONS (3 categories)		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	No disability/illness	27375	78.9%
	2	Non-limiting disability/illness	1448	4.2%
	3	Limiting disability/illness	5605	16.1%
Missing Values	System		288	0.8%

educat3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	100		
	Label	Respondent education (5 categories)		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	None	6132	17.7%
	2	O level/GCSE	6377	18.4%
	3	Apprenticeship or A/AS level	6440	18.6%
	4	Degree or diploma	14250	41.0%
Missing Values	5	Other	1390	4.0%
	System		127	0.4%

remploya

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	101		
	Label	Respondent employment status		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Employed	21572	62.1%
	2	Unemployed	783	2.3%
	3	Economically inactive	12321	35.5%
Missing Values	System		39	0.1%

rnssec8a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	102		
	Label	Adult respondent Socio-Economic Classification (NS-SEC from NSSEC based on SOC2010): Analytic Categories - 8 groups & not classified		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Large employer and higher managerial occupations	1001	2.9%
	1	Higher professional occupations	2919	8.4%
	2	Lower professional and higher technical occupations	8215	23.7%
	3	Intermediate occupations	4193	12.1%
	4	Small employers and own account workers	3433	9.9%
	5	Lower supervisory and technical occupations	2666	7.7%
	6	Semi-routine occupations	4946	14.2%
	7	Routine occupations	3684	10.6%
	8	Never worked	1230	3.5%
	9	Not classified	2428	7.0%

h10sec6a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	103		
	Label	Occupation coding of HRP (6 categories & students & not classified - from NSSEC based on SOC2010)		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	Managerial and professional occs	13929	40.1%
	2	Intermediate occs	3307	9.5%
	3	Small employers and own account workers	4233	12.2%
	4	Lower supervisory and technical occs	3108	9.0%
	5	Semi-routine and routine occs	8045	23.2%
	6	Never worked and long term unemployed	946	2.7%
	7	Full-time students	678	2.0%
	8	Not classified	469	1.4%

club3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	104		
	Label	No. visits to nightclub/disco last month		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	1	None	31840	91.7%
	2	1-3 times	2340	6.7%
	3	4+ times	517	1.5%
Missing Values	System		17	0.1%

ratpol3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	105		
	Label	Public satisfaction with the police		
Valid Values	Measurement	Nominal		
	0	Fair or poor job	12684	36.5%
	100	Excellent or good job	20409	58.8%
Missing Values	System		1622	4.7%

C11IndivWgtx

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	106
	Label	Individual level weight (mean=1)
	Measurement	Scale
N	Valid	34715
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.3659
	Standard Deviation	.80690

C11HhdWgtx

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	107
	Label	Household level weight (mean=1)
	Measurement	Scale
N	Valid	34608
	Missing	107
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.0942
	Standard Deviation	.45860

emdiq15x

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	108		
	Label	English Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015 (quintiles)		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1.00		6298	18.1%
	2.00		6736	19.4%
	3.00		6777	19.5%
	4.00		6566	18.9%
	5.00		6466	18.6%
Missing Values	System		1872	5.4%

wmdi14x

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	109		
	Label	Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation 2014 (quintiles)		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	1.00		315	0.9%
	2.00		384	1.1%
	3.00		431	1.2%
	4.00		386	1.1%
	5.00		356	1.0%
Missing Values	System		32843	94.6%

worryx

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	110
	Label	Worry about being a victim of crime (high score = high level of worry)
	Measurement	Scale
N	Valid	8373
	Missing	26342
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.0000000
	Standard Deviation	1.00000000

antisocx

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	111
	Label	Anti-social behaviour in their neighbourhood (high score = high levels of anti- social behaviour)
N	Measurement	Scale
	Valid	8547
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Missing	26168
	Mean	.0000000
	Standard Deviation	1.00000000

effectx

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	112
	Label	Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System (high score = high opinion)
N	Measurement	Scale
	Valid	7907
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Missing	26808
	Mean	.0000000
	Standard Deviation	1.00000000

fairx

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	113
	Label	Fairness of Criminal Justice System (high score=high opinion)
N	Measurement	Scale
	Valid	6089
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Missing	28626
	Mean	.0000000
	Standard Deviation	1.00000000

confx

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	114
	Label	Confidence in police in their neighbourhood (high score=high level of confidence)
N	Measurement	Scale
	Valid	31699
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Missing	3016
	Mean	.0000000
	Standard Deviation	1.00000000

Code for additional variables

Extract from SPSS Syntax file used to create additional variables. SPSS version 23.

```
// Revise weights, mean=1

COMPUTE C11IndivWgtx=C11IndivWgt / 1340.377.
EXECUTE.

COMPUTE C11HhdWgtx=C11HhdWgt / 714.8991.
EXECUTE.

// Create indices of multiple deprivation in England and Wales by quintile

RECODE emdidc15 (1 thru 2=1) (3 thru 4=2) (5 thru 6=3) (7 thru 8=4) (9 thru
10=5) INTO emdiqu15x.
VARIABLE LABELS emdiqu15x 'English Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015
(quintiles)'.
EXECUTE.

RECODE wmdidc14 (1 thru 2=1) (3 thru 4=2) (5 thru 6=3) (7 thru 8=4) (9 thru
10=5) INTO wmdiqu14x.
VARIABLE LABELS wmdiqu14x 'Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation 2014
(quintiles)'.
EXECUTE.

// Create new scalar variables using pca

FACTOR
/VARIABLES wburgl wmugged wattack wraceatt wraped
/MISSING LISTWISE
/ANALYSIS wburgl wmugged wattack wraceatt wraped
/PRINT INITIAL EXTRACTION
/CRITERIA MINEIGEN(1) ITERATE(25)
/EXTRACTION PC
/ROTATION NOROTATE
/SAVE REG(ALL)
/METHOD=CORRELATION.

// Var name worryx, label "Worry about being a victim of crime (high score =
high level of worry"

COMPUTE worryx=worryx * -1.
EXECUTE.

FACTOR
/VARIABLES noisneig teenhang rubbish vandals druguse drunk abancar
/MISSING LISTWISE
/ANALYSIS noisneig teenhang rubbish vandals druguse drunk abancar
```

```

/PRINT INITIAL EXTRACTION
/CRITERIA MINEIGEN(1) ITERATE(25)
/EXTRACTION PC
/ROTATION NOROTATE
/SAVE REG(ALL)
/METHOD=CORRELATION.

// Var name - antisocx, label "Anti-social behaviour in their neighbourhood
(high score = high levels of anti- social behaviour)"

COMPUTE antisocx=antisocx * -1.
EXECUTE.

FACTOR
/VARIABLES cjspolb cjscpsb cjscrt2a cjscrt2b cjsps1b cjsps2b
/MISSING LISTWISE
/ANALYSIS cjspolb cjscpsb cjscrt2a cjscrt2b cjsps1b cjsps2b
/PRINT INITIAL EXTRACTION
/CRITERIA MINEIGEN(1) ITERATE(25)
/EXTRACTION PC
/ROTATION NOROTATE
/SAVE REG(ALL)
/METHOD=CORRELATION.

// Var name - effectx, label "Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System (high
score= high opinion)"

COMPUTE effectx=effectx * -1.
EXECUTE.

FACTOR
/VARIABLES fairatt1 fairatt2 fairatt3 fairatt4 fairatt5 fairatt6 fairatt7
/MISSING LISTWISE
/ANALYSIS fairatt1 fairatt2 fairatt3 fairatt4 fairatt5 fairatt6 fairatt7
/PRINT INITIAL EXTRACTION
/CRITERIA MINEIGEN(1) ITERATE(25)
/EXTRACTION PC
/ROTATION NOROTATE
/SAVE REG(ALL)
/METHOD=CORRELATION.

// Var name, fairx, label "Fairness of Criminal Justice System (high
score=high opinion)"

COMPUTE fairx=fairx * -1.
EXECUTE.

FACTOR
/VARIABLES polatt1 polatt2 polatt3 polatt5 polatt6 polatt7
/MISSING LISTWISE

```

```

/ANALYSIS polatt1 polatt2 polatt3 polatt5 polatt6 polatt7
/PRINT INITIAL EXTRACTION
/CRITERIA MINEIGEN(1) ITERATE(25)
/EXTRACTION PC
/ROTATION NOROTATE
/SAVE REG(ALL)
/METHOD=CORRELATION.

// Var name, confx, label "Confidence in police in their neighbourhood (high
score=high level of confidence)"

COMPUTE confx=confx * -1.
EXECUTE.

```