ScotCen Social Research that works for society

Scottish Social Attitudes survey 2015

Scottish Social Attitudes Survey (SSA) 2015

1	1.1 The Scottish Social Attitudes series 1.2 The 2015 Survey 1.3 The 2015 Survey	. ′
2	Data collection methods 2.1 Sample design 2.2 Fieldwork 2.3 Response rates	.2
3	Analysis variables 3.1 The Scottish Household Survey six-fold urban-rural classification (urindsc2) 3.2 National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification (NS-SEC) 3.3 Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD) 3.4 Party identification	.4
4	Weighting the data	
5	Documentation	7
6	SSA 2015 dataset	8
7	Related publications	8
	Contact details	

1 Overview of the survey

1.1 The Scottish Social Attitudes series

The Scottish Social Attitudes (SSA) survey was launched by ScotCen Social Research¹ in 1999, following the advent of devolution. Based on annual rounds of interviews with around 1,200-1,500 people drawn using probability sampling, it aims are to facilitate the study of public opinion and inform the development of public policy in Scotland. In this it has similar objectives to the *British Social Attitudes* (BSA) survey, which was launched by ScotCen's parent organisation, NatCen Social Research, in 1983. While BSA interviews people in Scotland, there are usually too few in any one year to permit separate analysis of public opinion in Scotland.

SSA is conducted annually² and has a modular structure. In any one year it will typically contain three to five modules, each containing 40 questions. Funding for its first two years came from the Economic and Social Research Council, while from 2001 onwards different bodies have funded each year's individual modules. These bodies have included the Economic and Social Research Council, the Scottish Government and various charitable and grant awarding bodies, such as the Nuffield Foundation and Leverhulme Trust.

1.2 The 2015 Survey

The 2015 survey contained modules of questions on:

- Attitudes to Government funded by Scottish Government
- Discrimination funded by Scottish Government
- Social Capital funded by Scottish Government
- Language Learning funded jointly by Scottish Government, University of Edinburgh and Scottish Centre for Information on Language Teaching

Attitudes to Policing, funded by the Scottish Institute for Policing Research, Police Scotland and Scottish Police Authority and Attitudes to Minimum Unit Pricing funded by Scottish Government will be deposited at a later date.

The Scottish Centre for Social Research was formed in February 2004 as the result of a merger between The National Centre's existing organisation within Scotland and Scottish Health Feedback, an independent research consultancy. In 2012 the Scottish Centre for Social Research became ScotCen Social Research.
With the exception of 2008. Annual sweeps took places from 1999 to 2007 and again 2009 to 2016. 2016 data will be

With the exception of 2008. Annual sweeps took places from 1999 to 2007 and again 2009 to 2016. 2016 data will be available in 2017.

2 Data collection methods

2.1 Sample design

The survey is designed to yield a representative sample of adults aged 18 or over, living in Scotland. The sample frame is the Postcode Address File (PAF), a list of postal delivery points compiled by the Post Office. The detailed procedure for selecting the 2015 sample was as follows:

- I. 104 postcode sectors were selected from a list of all postal sectors in Scotland, with probability proportional to the number of addresses in each sector for addresses in urban areas and a probability of twice the address count for sectors in rural areas (i.e. the last 3 categories of the Scottish Government's 6 fold urban-rural classification). Prior to selection the sectors were stratified by Scottish Government urban-rural classification, region and percentage of household heads recorded as being in non-manual occupations (SEG 1-6 and 13, taken from the 2001 Census).
- II. 30 addresses were selected at random from each of these 104 postcode sectors

Interviewers called at each selected address and identified its eligibility for the survey. Where more than one dwelling unit was present at an address, all dwelling units were listed systematically and one was selected at random using a computer generated random selection table. In all eligible dwelling units with more than one adult aged 18 or over, interviewers had to carry out a random selection of one adult using a similar procedure.

2.2 Fieldwork

1. Fieldwork for the 2015 survey ran between July 2015 and January 2016, with 84% of interviews completed by the end of October 2015 and 95% by the end of November 2015. An advance postcard, followed by an advance letter, was sent to all sampled addresses and followed up by a personal visit from a ScotCen interviewer. Interviewers were required to make a minimum of 6 calls at different times of the day (including at least one evening and one weekend call) in order to try and contact respondents. All interviewers attended a one day briefing conference prior to starting work on the study.

2. Interviews were conducted using face-to-face computer-assisted interviewing (a process which involves the use of a laptop computer, with questions appearing on screen and interviewers directly entering respondents' answers into the computer). All respondents were asked to fill in a self-completion questionnaire using the interviewer's laptop. If the respondent preferred, the questions could be read out by the interviewer. Table 1 (below) summarises the response rate and the numbers completing the self-completion section in 2015.

2.3 Response rates

The numbers completing each stage in 2015 are shown in the table below.

Table 1: 2015 Scottish Social Attitudes survey response					
	No.	% of 'eligible' (in scope) sample			
Addresses issued	3,120				
Vacant, derelict and other out of scope ¹	324	10			
Achievable or 'in scope'	2796				
Unknown eligibility ²	17	1			
Interview achieved	1,288	46			
Self-completion completed	1,233	44			
Interview not achieved					
Refused ³	1104	39			
Non-contact ⁴	242	9			
Other non-response ⁵	162	6			

Notes to table

- 1 This includes empty / derelict addresses, holiday homes, businesses and institutions, and addresses that had been demolished.
- 2 'Unknown eligibility' includes cases where the address could not be located, where it could not be determined if an address was residential and where it could not be determined if an address was occupied or not.
- 3 Refusals include: refusals prior to selection of an individual; refusals to the office; refusal by the selected person; 'proxy' refusals made by someone on behalf of the respondent; and broken appointments after which a respondent could not be re-contacted.
- 4 Non-contacts comprise households where no one was contacted after at least 6 calls and those where the selected person could not be contacted.
- 5 'Other non-response' includes people who were ill at home or in hospital during the survey period, people who were physically or mentally unable to participate and people in which a language barrier made recruitment too difficult (despite translation and interpreting services being offered).

3 Analysis variables

Most of the analysis variables used in SSA reporting are taken directly from the questionnaire and to that extent are self-explanatory. These include age, sex, household income, and highest educational qualification obtained. The main analysis variables included in the dataset which require further definition are set out below.

3.1 The Scottish Household Survey six-fold urban-rural classification (urindsc2)

The 6-fold version of the urban-rural classification is included on the dataset (urindsc2). Areas in this version are classified as follows:

	Area type		
1	Large Urban	Settlements over 125,000 population (Aberdeen, Dundee,	
		Glasgow and Edinburgh)	
2	Other Urban	Other settlements over 10,000 population	
3	Small, accessible towns	Settlements 3-10,000 population and within a 30 minute	
		drive time of a settlement of 10,000 or more	
4	Small, remote towns	Settlements 3-10,000 population and more than a 30	
		minute drive time of a settlement of 10,000 or more	
5	Accessible rural	Settlements less than 3,000 population and within a 30	
		minute drive time of a settlement of 10,000 or more	
6	Remote rural	Settlements less than 3,000 population and more than a	
		30 minute drive time of a settlement of 10,000 or more	

3.2 National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification (NS-SEC)

The most commonly used classification of socio-economic status used on government surveys is the National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification (NS-SEC). SSA respondents were classified according to their own occupation, rather than that of the 'head of household'. Each respondent was asked about their current or last job, so that all respondents, with the exception of those who had never worked, were classified. The seven NS-SEC categories are:

- · Employers in large organisations, higher managerial and professional
- Lower professional and managerial; higher technical and supervisory
- Intermediate occupations
- Small employers and own account workers
- Lower supervisory and technical occupations
- Semi-routine occupations
- Routine occupations

See variable R2Class. The remaining respondents were grouped as 'never had a job' or 'not classifiable'. Note that where respondents were not economically active or were retired, but their spouse or partner was currently economically active, their spouse/partners' job details were collected instead of the respondents. The variable 'P2Class' indicates spouse/partner NS-SEC.

3.3 Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD)

The Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD)³ measures the level of deprivation across Scotland – from the least deprived to the most deprived areas. It is based on indicators in seven domains of: Current Income, Employment, Health, Education Skills and Training, Geographic Access to Services (including public transport travel times for the first time), Housing and Crime. SIMD is presented at data zone level, enabling small pockets of deprivation to be identified. The data zones are ranked from most deprived (1) to least deprived (6,505) on the overall SIMD and on each of the individual domains. The result is a comprehensive picture of relative area deprivation across Scotland.

The dataset includes a variable (nsimd) that indicates which SIMD quintile the respondent lives in (with 1 being the MOST deprived and 5 being the LEAST deprived) based on the SIMD scores for all data zones - not simply those included in the SSA sample.

3.4 Party identification

Respondents were classified as identifying with a particular political party on one of three counts: if they considered themselves to be a supporter of that party, if they said they were closer to it than to any other party or if they said they would be likely to support that party in the event of a general election.

4 Weighting the data

The weights applied to the SSA 2015 data are intended to correct for three potential sources of bias in the sample:

- Differential selection probabilities
- Deliberate over-sampling of rural areas
- Non-response.

User Guide 5

_

 $^{^{3}}$ See http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/0043/00439496.pdf for further details on the SIMD

Data were weighted to take account of the fact that not all households or individuals had the same probability of selection for the survey. For example, adults living in large households had a lower selection probability than adults who lived alone. Weighting was also used to correct the over-sampling of rural addresses. Differences between responding and non-responding households were taken into account using information from the census about the area of the address as well as interviewer observations about participating and non-participating addresses. Finally, the weights were adjusted to ensure that the weighted data matched the age-sex profile of the Scottish population (based on 2014 mid-year estimates from the General Register Office for Scotland). The main weight for use in analysis is 'Wtfactor'.

Adding weights to a sample can affect the sample efficiency. If the weights are very variable (i.e. they have very high and/or very low values) the weighted estimates will have a larger variance. More variance means standard errors are larger and confidence intervals are wider, so there is less certainty over how close the estimates are to the true population value.

The affect of the sample design on the precision of survey estimates is indicated by the effective sample size (neff). The effective sample size measures the size of an (unweighted) simple random sample that would have provided the same precision (standard error) as the design being implemented. If the effective sample size is close to the actual sample size then we have an efficient design with a good level of precision. The lower the effective sample size, the lower the level of precision. The efficiency of a sample is given by the ratio of the effective sample size to the actual sample size.

The final weights in 2015 were slightly more variable than in 2014, which may be due to some of the groups being under- or overrepresented to a greater extent in the sample (e.g. males 25-34). In effect, the effective sample size due to weighting is 34%, smaller than the achieved sample size (as compared with 31% in 2014). Please note the weighting is only one of several design elements influencing the final effective sample size, and the overall effect is different for all estimates. The range of the weights, the effective sample size and sample efficiency for both sets of weights are given in Table 9 below.

Table 2: Comparison of final weights in 2014/15 SSA				
Weights	Variance of weights	Achieved sample size	Effective sample size (weighting effect only)	
2014 final	0.45	1501	1034	
2015 final	0.51	1288	903	

4.1 Urban-rural analysis

Since fewer people live in remote areas than in accessible rural areas, the survey boosted the number of respondents in some areas within the classification more than others. This means that people in different types of rural areas had different chances of selection, and thus it has been necessary to create additional weights for certain analyses of the rural boost of the sample.

For sampling an urban/rural variable based at postcode sector level was used. E.g. if 60% of postcodes in a postcode sector were in Remote Rural areas then the postcode sector and addresses within it were classed as Remote Rural for the purposes of stratifying the sample. However, some *individual* postcodes within that sector might in fact fall into Accessible Rural or another category. Therefore, this variable is not included in the final dataset. Instead, a new variable (Urindsc2) derived at the level of individual postcodes has been added on to the final dataset. This classifies respondents' addresses according to the 6-fold Scottish Government urban-rural classification. If using this 6-fold urban/ rural variable the standard WTFACTOR weighting variable should be used.

5 Documentation

The documentation files provided with the dataset are organised into the following sections

- Interview questionnaire and showcards
- Project instructions (contains interviewer and coding instructions).
- SPSS syntax for derived variables
- · Excel file with variables by topic

6 SSA 2015 dataset

The SSA 2015 dataset consists of one SPSS file

SSA2015.sav 1501 cases	430 variables
------------------------	---------------

The data file contains questionnaire variables from the sections of the 2015 questionnaire and demographic variables (excluding variables used for administrative purposes and any variables which could potentially identify an individual respondent) and derived variables. Details of the question wording can be found in the questionnaire documentation.

7 Related publications

- Attitudes to discrimination and positive action
- Attitudes to social networks, civic participation and co-production
- Attitudes to the role of the Scottish Government presented in data tables
- A report on attitudes to Government, the National Health Service, the economy and standard of living

For the latest blogs using SSA data, visit http://whatscotlandthinks.org/

8 Contact details

ScotCen Social Research
Scotiabank House
6 South Charlotte Street
Edinburgh
EH2 4AW
0131 228 2167 Phone 0131 228 2167

Susan Reid (Research Director) susan.reid@scotcen.org.uk

Jackie Palmer (Data Manager) jackie.palmer@scotcen.org.uk