

Information provided by depositor for UK Data Archive 2nd edition revisions, January 2013

Datasets affected:

identity.sav (interview subdirectory)
dwelling.sav (interview subdirectory)
renter.sav (interview subdirectory)
interviewfs09.sav (derived subdirectory)
income.sav (interview subdirectory)
contact.sav (interview subdirectory)

Changes made:

There were 33 cases where there was an inconsistency between the `restme2` variable and the `dvrestme` variable. These cases had a `restme2` value of -8 and a `dvrestme` variable of 1. The `DVResTme` variables were changed to be -8 for these cases. The change affected the **identity** file. By changing the `DVResTme` variable, there were knock-on effects to other variables in different files. There were only 6 cases on the **dwelling** file where the `DVResTme` variable was changed for the HRP. Also on the **dwelling** file, there were 32 cases where the `ISwitch` value for the HRP was 4 and there are responses to the variables `MainR1` and `WhyM2` (a multicode variable with 17 multicode values `WhyMArea` to `WhyMOthr`) when there should not be. These cases should not have been routed to these variables, therefore have been recoded to -9. *Please note this was not a problem in Year 1 as these variables were introduced at the start of Year 2.*

Changing `DVResTme` for HRPs also has an effect on the **renter** file. However only one variable, `SRWaitL` was actually changed for 2 cases (only 3 are on the `renter` file). Other variables have been looked at but no changes were required. Some of the changes mentioned above due to the discrepancy will have an impact on the derived variable file **interviewfs**. There were 3 cases that had to have the variable `ftbuyer` recoded to -8, as they had the `prevac` variable changed and they had a `ten1` value (variable from the `hhldtype` file) of 1. The other cases had a `ten1` value of 4 so weren't affected. Note the `prevten` variable was also checked but all cases already had a value of -8, so no changes required.

There were 24 cases where `BnWrdpWk` has been incorrectly calculated, as well as 62 cases for `BnlidpWk` and 318 cases where the `BnDccWk`. These corrections have now been updated on the new **income** file. The **contact** file was updated so cases with a `casecat` not equal to 1, 4 or 5 (i.e. cases where the respondent took part in the interview survey) had the values for the variables `ANTnDECC`, `Willing` and `FutEHS1` (in Q3 and Q4) and `FutEHS` (in Q1 and Q2) set to -9 rather than responses. The number of cases affected were 17, 77, 39 and 38.