The 2005 English Church Census

Introduction

The fourth English Church Census was carried out on 8 May 2005. Comparable studies had been conducted in 1979, 1989 and 1998. All were organised and led by Dr Peter Brierley, executive director of the organisation Christian Research prior to his retirement in 2007. An overview of the findings from the study can be found in two books: *Pulling out of the Nosedive*, and *Religious Trends No.* 6, written and compiled by Brierley and published by Christian Research. The document that follows is a revised version (prepared by David Voas) of the introductory material in those books.

Scope

The Census covered all Christian denominations accepting the Trinitarian doctrine (that God exists as Father, Son and Holy Spirit in one being). It thus included all Free, Protestant, Anglican, Roman Catholic and Orthodox Churches, and excluded the Jehovah's Witnesses, Mormons, Christian Scientists, Christadelphians and Unitarians. There was no coverage of non-Christian groups such as Jews, Muslims and Hindus. The actively religious people thus excluded represented 4% of the UK population in 2005.

A 'church' was defined for the purpose of this Census as a body of people meeting on a Sunday in the same premises primarily for public worship at regular intervals. The congregations approached thus included normal church buildings, and also those meeting for worship in school chapels, Armed Forces chaplaincies, and those in hospital chapels. Congregations that meet on Saturdays (like the Seventh-day Adventists, or Catholics taking Vigil Mass) were counted in. Churches whose services are held fortnightly or monthly were also counted in, but not those used less frequently. Congregations that do not own a building but hire a local school or civic hall for their meetings were included. Some people meet for worship during the week, and these numbers were also counted, but not included in the Sunday total.

The study was limited to England; a similar attendance survey in 2002 covered Scotland. In common with previous studies, the fourth English Church Census included both the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man. It is of course recognised that these two territories are separate jurisdictions legally, but most of the major denominations include supervision of their churches within an appropriate Diocese or Region that is based in England. These two territories are therefore analysed along with the 47 counties in England and included in the commentary where appropriate.

Choice of census date

May 8th 2005 was chosen as it was a day outside holidays and half-terms, away from Bank Holidays and Festivals, and the week before Pentecost Sunday, when some churches hold special services. The weather was mild. No Sunday is ever 'average' but there is no reason to think that the church attendance that Sunday was overall above or below the usual number.

May 8th was, however, the 60th anniversary of VE Day, and a number of churches held special commemoration services. Some indicated to us that they included all the non-regulars who attended that day, others omitted them, and yet others took the Census on another day in

order to get a more normal Sunday. Some drew attention to the Census in their weekly newssheet. We have not included, for example, the estimated 2,000 people who attended the Cenotaph Service that day, nor those who may have visited the Church of Fools or other webbased churches (whose numbers are not known).

Questionnaire design

We had the competing demands of asking questions to give us the basic data that would be comparable with previous studies, the need to ask much more about midweek activity, the questions that the larger sponsors wished to be included, and all within the overall demands of a maximum size of two sides of one page in a font large enough to read.

The basic questions on total attendance, numbers attending twice, and children, with an age/gender breakdown, have been the same since 1989 and have been used identically in three Scottish Church Censuses. The age-groups were extended in this Census from the six used previously to nine, but this will not affect the total numbers recorded. The draft questions were freshly piloted for this Census.

Method

A number of church leaders were asked in 2003 whether a further Census should be undertaken in 2005, and they were unanimously positive. The Board of Christian Research concurred. A proposal was written and requests for sponsorship made; when sufficient support was forthcoming, the project began. That included forming the Census Steering Committee which first met in September 2004; they agreed the final questionnaire (included with this documentation) at their meeting in March 2005. (For the composition of the committee, see the acknowledgements section below.)

An initial mailing to all the 38,000 churches on the Christian Research database took place in February to let churches know the Census would take place on 8 May 2005, and asked them to let the organisers know of any new churches that had been started in their locality or any that had closed. A huge and very helpful response to this letter was received. At the same time every senior leader (Bishop, Archdeacon, Vicar-General, Regional Minister, Chairman, Superintendent, Moderator, District Commander, etc.) was informed that the Census was taking place.

The crucial mailing took place in April 2005, with a covering letter indicating that the information provided would be treated as confidential. No information on any individual church would be released without its approval. Likewise churches were able to tick appropriate boxes to indicate whether or not they wished to receive future mailings, according to the Data Protection Act.

The thousands of returned forms were then individually checked, and entered on to the Christian Research computers, an exercise that was finally completed in October 2005. The analysis then began.

Almost 3% of English churches did not hold a service on 8th May, some 973 churches in total. This figure is likely to be understated since many churches not holding a service that day will not have replied. These were mostly churches in remoter rural areas whose practice is to hold a service every fortnight or month, some members of whose congregations will perhaps have attended a service in a neighbouring hamlet or village.

These churches that had a zero congregation on Census Sunday were not assumed to have closed, but rather were amalgamated with the other linked churches in recording the results. Thus if there were three linked rural churches, two of which had no service on 8th May, and the third church had 75 people who were a mixture from all three congregations, the church where the service was actually held was recorded as having 75 present and the other two as zero, but not closed. This means that the correct number is included in the overall total, and the size of that congregation is also correctly recorded, and not put as, for example, three congregations of 25 each.

Response

Altogether information was received from 18,720 churches or congregations by the time we stopped entering data on our computers, and details from a further 39 were received subsequently. Several dozen other churches returned details more than six months after the Census. Three-quarters of those supplying information returned one of our forms. We were also able, however, to input data on total attendance, sometimes with additional information, that was very kindly supplied by ten Church of England and eight Roman Catholic Dioceses, the Baptist Union of Great Britain, the Fellowship of Independent Evangelical Churches, the Salvation Army and 91 Methodist Circuits for the remainder.

This gives a total overall response rate of 50.02%, a very much higher response to a postal survey than most research companies expect to achieve today. We are grateful to the army of people who completed the forms or helped us get so much information. That the response rate was so high again shows something of the interest in this Census. Half of the forms ultimately received were returned during the first six weeks; a reminder was sent out after two months, after which a few thousand came in quickly, followed by a long trickle over the following months.

In terms of the overall percentage, the 50% response achieved in 2005 compares to 33% in 1998, and to 70% in 1989. In the 2002 Scottish Church Census we had 52%.

Another test of the plausibility of the results is whether or not they compare reasonably well with existing figures collected independently from the different denominations. Not every denomination collects attendance information, and some, like the Baptist Union of Great Britain, collect it, but do not publish it. The Church of England, the Roman Catholic Church and the United Reformed Church however all collect and publish such information. The Church of England publishes a variety of attendance data; here (and in the books that report on the Census) we take their 'Usual Sunday Attendance' (USA) data as this is comparable to the information collected by other denominations, and to previous Censuses. This is the lowest of the figures produced by the Church of England's Research and Statistics Department: 'Average Sunday Attendance' (ASA) for example is at least 10% higher, and furthermore increased between 2002 and 2003 while the USA decreased. The ASA is measured over a month, and will therefore include special services such as Harvest services, Parade, other All Age services and Baptism services. Such data are clearly useful, but the figures are not directly comparable to the data that we collected in the Census or to other denominations' data. When in 1979 we tried to collect figures averaged over a month, the response rate was lower, and subsequently we were advised that, statistically, measuring on a 'typical' Sunday was as accurate.

Figures published for the years immediately prior to the 2005 Census year are given in the table below.

Year	Church of England	Roman Catholic Church	United Reformed Church
2001	938,000	954,132	81,228
2002	917,000	934,200	79,473
2003	902,000	n/a	75,980
2004	n/a	n/a	72,166
Straight line 2005 projection	865,000	874,400	69,500
English Church Census 2005	867,400	875,600	68,700

The 'straight line projection' is based on the 2, 3 or 4 figures given above and projected to 2005 for ease of comparison. The closeness of the Census figures with these projections suggests that the overall results at least for these three major denominations are reasonable, and on that basis, it is assumed that the other figures likewise will probably be of the right order of magnitude, and that the conclusions drawn are likely to be correct.

In terms of denominational response, the overall figure of 50% breaks down as follows:

Baptist	67
Smaller denominations	57
Anglican	55
Catholic	54
Independents	50
New Churches	49
United Reformed	44
Methodists	37
Pentecostal	30
Orthodox	7

The two largest individual denominations, Anglican and Catholic, both responded better than average. As the figures in Table 1 indicate, the grossed up figures suggest the Census findings are very reasonable. Likewise for the United Reformed Church. While it is disappointing that only a third of Pentecostal churches responded, this is in large part due to the difficulty of tracking down black church leaders, many of whom have full-time jobs in addition to their church responsibilities.

It is obviously of concern that there was such a low response from the Orthodox Churches, but 8th May happened to be 'low' Sunday, or the Sunday after their Easter, when many of their churches were closed. However, even if the grossed up figures are as much as 100%

wrong (which is unlikely), the resulting extra 25,000 worshippers will not change the overall figure greatly, and the total numbers would still be 6.3% of the population.

Estimates have been made for those who did not respond, partly on the basis that their figures would on average be similar to those who did respond, but also by comparing the resulting totals to see if the trend in their figures was reasonable compared with the previous study or with published denominational or other figures.

Key findings

The published estimate based on the fourth English Church Census was that on 8th May 2005, or an equivalent Sunday, there were 3,166,200 people, adults and children, in church in England. This is 6.3% of the population.

It is important to note that all publications based on the census contain estimates of the total churchgoing population and cannot be derived directly from this dataset, which includes only half of the churches in the country. Because of differences in response rates by denomination, region, size of church and so on, arriving at the population estimates is not simply a matter of grossing up the sample values. A sophisticated set of assumptions and constraints was used to produce the published totals.

While many new churches been started between 1998 and 2005, slightly more have closed, bringing the total number of churches or congregations of all denominations to 37,501 in May 2005. The number of churches closing in the 7 years 1998-2005 was at a much slower rate than closures in the previous nine years.

The direction and pace of change are as important as important as the absolute number. Successive studies in this series show that:

- In 1979 there were 5.4 million in church, 11.7% of the population.
- In 1989 there were 4.7 million in church, 9.9% of the population.
- In 1998 there were 3.7 million in church, 7.5% of the population.
- In 2005 there were 3.2 million in church, 6.3% of the population.

It may be seen that over the 9 year period 1989 to 1998,1 million fewer people went to church, but in the seven years 1998 to 2005 only half a million have stopped attending, fewer than might have been expected. A million loss over 9 years (or a 1.7 million loss over 19 years) would be about a 800,000 loss in 7 years, so as the church has 'only' lost 500,000, it 'gained', as it were, about 300,000 people.

Where have they come from? There were essentially three broad sources: the Black majority and other ethnically diverse churches have been expanding rapidly, the white churches have recruited through Alpha and other like courses or related evangelistic events, and the 'Fresh Expressions' movement has been an additional stimulus. Why then have numbers declined? Because while many were gained, twice as many were lost through death or people simply giving up going to church so often. (The number dropping out from loss of faith is fairly small). It had been hoped that the impact of some of the new experimentation would make a real difference on numbers; this result suggests it has not yet had that effect. The many Willow Creek Conferences, Purpose-Driven Church emphasis, the charismatic renewal, the

cell church programme may all have been important but their cumulative impact has not been sufficient to offset the decline in other areas.

The number found in 2005 includes some of the early results of the many 'fresh expression' churches, and the results of the explosion of ethnic churches. If these were removed from the overall numbers, there would be at least 125,000 fewer people in church, giving a total of 3.04 million or just 6.0% of the population. In other words, the decline in most churches is accelerating.

The questionnaire asked for a wide variety of information. The chapters in the report *Pulling* out of the Nosedive analyse the basic data essentially one factor at a time, though two or more are used where the subsequent analysis gives important information. All the data can also be broken down by county and some by Local or Unitary Authority. These Tables were too extensive to be included in the main report but are given at length in the *Religious Trends No*. 6, 2006/2007. Additional analyses are also included in that volume, as are coloured maps to show the variations of church-going across England.

Acknowledgements

There are 37,500 churches or congregations in England, so contacting them all for a special event like a Church Census requires much co-ordinated activity. Crucial to the whole process is funding. Bill Lattimer, Christian Research's Business Development Manager, wrote the proposal that helped to generate support for the Census both from various denominations and from Christian organisations.

We had financial support from Ansvar Insurance Company, the Baptist Union of Great Britain, the Bible Society, Christian Aid, the Church Mission Society, the Church Pastoral Aid Society, the Churches' Child Protection Advisory Service, the Economic and Social Research Council, the Evangelical Alliance, Holy Trinity Brompton, the Methodist Church, Moorlands College, Operation Mobilisation, the Salvation Army, Tearfund and World Vision.

David Voas, University of Manchester, wrote a detailed proposal that was successful in gaining support from the Economic and Social Research Council. Collectively all these bodies provided the £100,000 this entire exercise has cost.

We are also grateful to many senior leaders who supported this Census by being willing to serve as its Council of Reference. These were: Bishop Dr Joe Aldred (Churches Together in Britain and Ireland), Viscountess Gill Brentford (then the Third Estates Commissioner, Church of England), Rt Rev Pete Broadbent (Bishop of Willesden, Church of England), Gerald Coates (Team Leader, Pioneer), Rev David Coffey (Moderator, Free Churches Group), Rt Rev Kieran Conry (Bishop of Arundel and Brighton, Roman Catholic Church), Rev Dr David Cornick (General Secretary, United Reformed Church), Rev Joel Edwards (General Director, Evangelical Alliance), Rev John Glass (General Superintendent, Elim Pentecostal Church), Rev Katei Kirby (Director, African and Caribbean Evangelical Alliance), General John Larsson (at that time the International Leader, Salvation Army), His Eminence Cormac Murphy-O'Connor (Cardinal Archbishop of Westminster, Roman Catholic Church), Pastor Cecil Perry (President, Seventh-day Adventist Church), His Grace Abba Seraphim (Metropolitan of Glastonbury, British Orthodox Church), Dr Neil Summerton

(Executive Chairman, Partnership, Christian Brethren), Rev Michael Townsend (Chairman of Leeds District, Methodist Church) and Rev Dr Nigel Wright (Principal, Spurgeon's Baptist College).

The Census Steering Committee consisted of Rev Derek Allan (Baptist Union of Great Britain), Ian Farthing (Tearfund), Rev Kenneth Howcroft (Methodist Church), Alistair Metcalfe (World Vision), Major David Pickard (Salvation Army), Philip Poole (Bible Society), Russell Price (Church Mission Society), and David Voas (University of Manchester), sometimes represented by Alasdair Crockett (University of Essex). They helped us in many ways, not least in working through the many suggested questions, the results of the pilot exercise (we sent an initial draft to 100 churches of different denominations), and in trying to get the wording as intelligible as possible. They proved tremendously helpful too when it came to publicity about the Census results and checking the draft of this book.

The staff of Christian Research have all been involved: Heather Wraight (Deputy Director) who organised the initial mailing, reminder, data entry and much more, Bill Lattimer with much advice on numerous occasions, Gwen Gowers (Personnel Assistant) who kept us all sane and organised, dealt with many email or phone questions about the form and sorted out address list queries by the hundred, Kim Miles (Research Officer) who provided the large majority of the analyses and gave valuable help with the design of the questionnaire, and Vicky Wharton (Administration Officer) who kept a tally of forms received and provided background support in a hundred different ways.

Then there were a large number of people who helped us part-time, whether in getting the mailings ready, phoning (especially black church leaders to get data), or basic data entry. These were: Carrol Barham, Ronnika Barham, Vicky Bayliss, Clara Bickford, Tolulalo Bolaji, Joan Cheesman, Richard Cooper, Jonathan Eades, Charlene Fernandez, Josh Gowers, Joan Haffner, Peggy Hale, Sarah Harding, Victoria Hunt, Andrew Junaid, Ben Keating, James Lumgair, Ratidzo Maboreke, Naomi Maxim, Ernel McIntosh, Rachel Paris, Stephanie Pathimagaraj, Katie Radcliffe, Sarah Radcliffe, Maureen Ross, Lindsay Rowden and Hannah Wright. Rev Onye Obika, General Secretary of the International Ministerial Council of Great Britain, kindly offered that he and some of his staff would phone their member churches. The work would never have been done without this army of helpers, so to all of them huge thanks.

Postscript by David Voas: The principal acknowledgement is unstated above, because it is to the author of the book from which this overview is taken: Peter Brierley. He directed the entire series of church censuses and surveys over a period of three decades. It is very unlikely that any of them would have been accomplished without his energy and expertise.



If the details on the address label are incorrect please amend as necessary, thank you

1															
	1.	Denom	nination or oth	er Affiliation_				2. Yea	r congregation	started					
	За.	Is the ((senior) ministe	er/leader resp	ponsible for an	y other congr	regation? Y	′ES □ NO □	If YES ho	ow many?					
חסאט	3b.	A form should have been received for each of these congregations. If any are missing, please write the details below, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. This will help us to keep our records accurate. Name of church/congregation													
YOUR CHURCH	4. 5.	Please No of f	say how man full-time (ordai	ny paid staff (ined)appointment	(if any) are emp	oloyed directly full-time (nor	y by your chu	urch. (Do not	include club o No of part-time	rganisers, eg p	playgroup)				
CE E					at this church o at Saturday/Su	-	-	-		ervice on 7/8 N	May, then				
DAN	6a.			To	otal number of	adults (aged	15 and above	e) attending a	any service on	this date					
	6b.				Estimated	number of ad	lults attendin	g more than o	one service on	this date					
SUNDAY ALLENDANCE	6c.		Tota	l number of	children (aged				ces whether or oup (eg Sunda	•					
NO NO	6d.		Total number	of children v	vho only atten	d a children's	group on Su	ınday but are	not present in	services					
	7.	I	f no service w	as held on th	ne 8th May, ple	ease tell us th	e frequency	with which wo	orship services	are held					
(We	appreci	iate that it may	v be difficult	to give accura	te figures for	the next 4 au	uestions, but i	t would be a c	reat help if vo	u would				
			iate that it may e answers as		to give accura	te figures for	the next 4 qu	uestions, but i	t would be a g	reat help if yo	u would				
		mate th	e answers as	best you car	٦.	-					u would				
	esti	mate th	e answers as	best you car	٦.	-									
	8.	on 8th	e answers as	best you car	n. ecent Sunday c	on which your	congregatio	n met for wor	ship) what we	re the numbers	s of attenders?				
	8. Age	on 8th	e answers as	best you car	n. ecent Sunday c	on which your	congregatio	n met for wor	ship) what we	re the numbers	s of attenders?				
	8. Age	On 8the group Male emale	e answers as n May 2005 (o 10 and under	best you car r the most re 11-14	n. ecent Sunday c	on which your 20-29	congregatio	n met for wor	ship) what we	re the numbers	s of attenders?				
EOPLE	8. Age	On 8the group Male emale	e answers as May 2005 (o 10 and under	r the most re	n. ccent Sunday c	20-29 of these individual	30-44 duals?	n met for wor 45-64	ship) what wer	75-84	s of attenders? 85 and over				
PEOPLE	8. Age	On 8the group Male emale	e answers as May 2005 (o 10 and under timately how for twice week	r the most re 11-14 requent is the	n. scent Sunday of 15-19	20-29 of these indivi	30-44 duals?	n met for wor 45-64 Monthly_	ship) what wer	75-84	s of attenders? 85 and over				
PEOPLE	esti 8. Age N Fe	On 8the group Male emale Approx	e answers as n May 2005 (o 10 and under timately how for Twice week Are these	r the most re 11-14 requent is the ekly	attendance of Weekly	20-29 of these individual Fortni pounted?	30-44 duals?	n met for wor 45-64 Monthly Visitors/	ship) what were 65-74 Less	75-84 frequently	s of attenders? 85 and over				
PEOPLE	esti 8. Age N Fe	On 8th on	e answers as May 2005 (o 10 and under timately how for Twice week Are these timately how received.	r the most re 11-14 requent is the ekly figures: Estimany addition	attendance of Weekly	20-29 of these individual Fortni pounted?	30-44 duals? ghtly	n met for wor 45-64 Monthly_ Visitors/ Twice yearly_	ship) what were 65-74 Less first time atter	75-84 frequently	s of attenders? 85 and over				
PEOPLE	esti 8. Age N Fe	On 8th on	timately how notes that these timately how notes the section and the section a	r the most re 11-14 requent is the ekly figures: Estimany additionany of your	e attendance c Weekly mated? Conal people atte	20-29 of these individual pounted? and? Quarterly ation fall into	duals? ghtly the following	n met for wor 45-64 Monthly Visitors/ Twice yearly_ ethnic group	ship) what were 65-74 Less first time atter On s?	frequently ce a year	s of attenders? 85 and over				
PEOPLE	esti 8. Age N Fe	On 8th on	timately how for the section and under these simately how much the section and	r the most re 11-14 requent is the ekly figures: Estimany additionany of your	e attendance of Weekly mated? adult congreg	on which your 20-29 of these individual form forthicounted? and? Quarterly ation fall into //African/Black	duals? ghtly the following	n met for wor 45-64 Monthly Visitors/ Twice yearly_ ethnic group	ship) what were 65-74 Less first time atter On s?	frequently ce a year	s of attenders? 85 and over				
PEUPLE	9a.	On 8the group Male Pemale Approx Approx	timately how from the section of the	r the most re 11-14 requent is the ekly figures: Estimany addition many of your Blackistani/Bangla	e attendance of the working with the wor	20-29 of these individual individual counted? and? Quarterly ation fall into /African/Black	duals? ghtly the following Other ther Asian	Monthly Visitors/ Twice yearly Chine	ship) what were 65-74 Less first time atter On s? ese/Japanese/Other Nor	frequently ce a year Korean	s of attenders? 85 and over				
PEOPLE	9a. 11.	On 8th e group Male emale Approx Approx Please	e answers as a May 2005 (o 10 and under 10 a	r the most re 11-14 requent is the ekly figures: Estimany addition many of your Blackistani/Bangla many people	e attendance of the working with the wor	on which your 20-29 of these individual index of these individual index of the series	duals? ghtly the following Other ther Asian ble personally	Monthly Visitors/ Twice yearly Chine y at least wee	ship) what were 65-74 Less first time atter On s? ese/Japanese/Other Norskly	frequently ce a year Korean	s of attenders? 85 and over				
ETHOS	9a. 11.	Approx Approx Please	e answers as a May 2005 (o 10 and under 10 a	r the most re 11-14 requent is the ekly figures: Estimany addition many of your Blackistani/Bangla many people	e attendance of the working with the wor	on which your 20-29 of these individual into pounted? and? Quarterly ation fall into pounted i	duals? ghtly the following to Other ther Asian ble personally on? Tick up to	Monthly Visitors/ Twice yearly Chine y at least wee	ship) what were 65-74 Less first time atter On s? ese/Japanese/Other Norskly	frequently ce a year Korean	s of attenders? 85 and over				

		-	_			(eg services					N-44 4	5-64	65 & over
	100	Tobar Houghily Hori Hiding		attend during an average week?									
	13c	age group: Female under 20 20-44 45-64 65 & over Roughly what percentage of these attend Sunday services?%											
				_		end a regulai				d Club, Yo	uth Club et	c.)? YES	S □ NO □
		If YES, are these run by your church or by a combined or ecumenical group? Church \Box Group \Box											
^	14b	. Roughly	how many	attend duri	ng an ave	rage week?	Numbers	in each N	/lale under	12 1	2-14 1	5-19	20 & over
į							age group: Fem		nale under	12 1	2-14 1	5-19	20 & over
	14c	. Roughly	what perce	entage of th	nese atten	d Sunday se	ervices?		%				
	15.	Please could you estimate how many people usually attend mid-week church-run activities (eg Drop-in Centres, Lunch Clubs etc.), but do not normally attend worship services at any church. Please exclude outside organisations that may hire or											
			t do not noi e of your cl	-		o services at	any churcl: <i>Total num</i>						re or _45 & over
		make us	e or your cr	naich pren	11363.		for all gro						45 & over
(Tor an gro	apo. 1 01			0 10 2		10 0 0 0 0 1
(16a	. Has you	r church ev	er run an A	Alpha cou	se? YES] NO □	Never hea	ard of Alpha	a 🗆			
	16b	. If YES, v	when did yo	our church	hold its fir	st Alpha cou	urse? Mor	nth/Year					
	16c	. Approxi	mately how	many Alph	na course:	s has your c	hurch run ir	n total?					
			-		•	attended you		. ,					
	16e	. If you do	not run Al	pha, do yo	u use any	other cours	e? If so, ple	ease state	which				
	17.	Please r	number the	se demand	s on your	church reso	urces in or	der of prior	ity (1 to 6,	where 1 is	top priority	and 6 is	s lowest).
				Children/You	uth Work	Church Fabri	c Chu	ırch Staff	Evange	lism F	reaching/teac	ching	Poverty
		Minister's	view										
	C	Congregatio	n's view										
_	18a				•	urch gives to				•			
2			_	-		cts with which cts, but we c							
HCH AND MISSION						ı long-term p				rulem			
ב ב				•	•			•		people to	give direc	tly to age	encies
E		 ☐ We prefer to make lots of smaller gifts throughout the year ☐ We encourage people to give directly to agencies ☐ We do not give regularly to projects in the Third World 											
-	18h	and w	hich factor	s are the n	nost imno	rtant in choc	sing which	agencylies	s) to suppoi	t Tick al	I that anni	v	
יחט אטטי	100	 b and which factors are the most important in choosing which agency(ies) to support. Tick all that apply. ☐ Their work fits with the vision of the church ☐ A tradition of long-term support 											
ב			meone con							_	appeals rec	eived	
2		□ We	receive re	gular inforn	nation on	where our m	noney goes	☐ It is	our denor	nination's	agency		
		☐ The	e personal	relationship	between	church mer	nbers and	the agency					
	19.	Does your church have an active programme of engagement in any of the following areas? Tick all that apply.											
		□ Local or national media □ Local or national politics □ Social action											
		☐ Primary/Secondary/Tertiary education ☐ Popular or higher Arts ☐ Sport											
	20	20. Tick up to five phrases or words which you associate with these groups where A is 'Christian mission movements generally' and											
	20.					uld like to sup		se groups v	WHERE A IS	Offistian	nission move	errierits ge	nerally and
		Голошина	Fuongoliatio	Faithful	Fighto	Coto	Multicultural	Obaccaiva	Out of data	Out of touch	Croisit lad	Ctuff	Transforming
		Empowers people	Evangelistic	Faithful	Fights for justice	Gets me involved	IVIUILICUITUIAI	Obsessive	Out of date	Out of touch	Spirit-led	Stuffy	Transforming
	Α												
	В												
	<u> </u>					1	1				1		
(
	Nan	ne of resp	ondent (in (CAPS pleas	se)								
	Tel.	Email Date								:e			
	Tha	nk you ve	ry much for	your help.	Please re	turn the forr	m in the en	elope prov	rided or to:				
			-			arch, 4 Foots							
	T: C	020 8294 1	1989 F:	020 8294 (0014 E:	ecc05@chri	stian-resea	rch.org.uk					
	Vari	r details an	e held on a	database. F	Please tick	the box if:							
	You												