User Guide

Introduction

This document was produced as the second part of a user guide for researchers using the GHS Social Capital question set in their own survey (to be published by the Health Development Agency). This explains some of the layout and explanation which data users may not require. However, since the guide employs the variable names used in the GHS data set, we have used the same document to act as a description of the social capital derived variables for users of the data set.

Summary variables and the development of the scales

The GHS question set covered five aspects of social capital:

- civic engagement
- neighbourliness and reciprocity
- social networks
- social support
- views about the local area

The design of the question set and, therefore, the summary variables, allow separate identification of these five different aspects. Maintaining these aspects separately simplifies the interpretation of any relationships with possible outcomes, such as health. Thus, no overall summary variable of 'social capital' was developed. This also facilitates further use of the question set and the associated summary variables in different contexts, where it is possible that not all of these aspects would be relevant or carry equal weight.

Summary variables were derived for each of the five aspects of social capital examined in this study. In most cases more than one summary was derived.

Two forms of summary variables were derived. Where possible, scaling procedures were used to produce scales. Where this was not appropriate, because of the question design, composite variables were created.

Developing scales

A scale combines variables which tend to vary together and that make conceptual sense to be grouped together. Thus, these variables can be interpreted as measuring some underlying concept.

Two scales were produced from the local area questions, one based on the perception of local facilities and the other on local problems. One scale was produced from the questions relating to neighbours to create a 'neighbourliness' score.

Since scales have been developed for the GHS module other researchers may recreate the scales without having to go through the development work (see next Section). A brief description of the development work is given here for background information.

Scales were derived using factor analysis. This makes it possible to see which questions 'fitted together' on a scale, by looking at the correlation between the variables. The analysis shows how many dimensions are contained within the data and the proportion of variance attributed to each. In the case of views on the local area, all the questions were initially analysed in the same factor analysis and this identified most of those relating to facilities and most of those relating to problems as two separate components.

Alpha reliability tests were carried out on potential scales. The coefficient alpha is widely used as a measure of reliability. It measures the proportion of a scale's total variance that is attributable to a common source, presumably the true score of a latent value underlying the items.¹ In other words it measures the degree of correlation between the variables, which it is assumed is due to the fact that they are measuring the same underlying concept.

In order to carry out the factor analysis, response categories for each question were converted to a score from zero to one, at the same time as ensuring that they were scoring in the same direction. Response categories were sometimes combined. For example, for the questions which related to potential problems in the area, such as traffic and graffiti, the response categories 'not at all a problem' and 'it happens but it is not a problem' were combined.

Some questions had a 'don't know' or 'have no experience' category. Two methods of assigning scores to these responses were used. When the 'don't know' option could be assumed to occupy the middle position this was coded as 0.5 (mid-way between zero and one), such as in the question, 'would you say this is an area you enjoy living in'. In cases where respondents said they could not answer because they had no experience, such as the

questions concerning facilities for teenagers, the imputed score was based on the mean score for those who had answered the question.

The development of the final scales was influenced by the results of the analysis, together with knowledge of which questions fitted together conceptually. Therefore, the formation of the scale was dependent on the researcher's judgement as well as the results from the statistical techniques.

Once the variables had been selected for each scale, the final factor score for each respondent was calculated by adding the score for each variable in the scale and dividing by the number of variables in the scale to give a mean score. Each variable within the scale carried the same weight. This method was used, rather than weighting the answers based on the loading scores from the factor analysis, as there is evidence that weighting makes very little difference to a scale (especially as those items which only have a small influence are eliminated).² Also weighting would have added complexity, making the scale more difficult to explain.

How to derive the scales used in the analysis of the GHS social capital module

The local facilities score (variable name: lafscale)

For each variable shown under the heading 'local facilities' in Table 1 convert the codes to values ranging from zero to one, using the conversion shown in Table 2. For code 6 'don't know or don't have any experience' assign the mean score for the questions based on those who gave an answer in the range 1-5. This is done by summing the converted zero to one scores for these people and dividing by the number of people. The final score for an individual is calculated by summing the new values for each variable and dividing by the number of variables in the scale.

The local problems score (variable name: lapscale)

This is calculated in the same way as the local facilities score using the appropriate information from Tables 1 and 2.

The neighbourliness score (variable name: neiscal2)

For each variable shown under the heading 'neighbourliness' in Table 1 convert the codes to values ranging from zero to one, using the appropriate conversions shown in Table 2. the score is then calculated in the same way as the local facilities score.

Grouping the scores for analysis

As all the codes have been converted to scores of zero to one, the final mean scores are also within this range.

In order to present the data relating to each scale, GHS respondents were categorised into three approximately equal sized groups based on the ranked position of their mean score for the relevant scale. The 33rd and 67th percentiles were used as demarcation points.

In the case of the local area scales, analysis focussed on those in the lowest third for the local facilities scale and the highest third for the local problems scale. In both cases this identified the group who were least positive about their local area (ie poor local facilities and high local problems). For the neighbourliness scale, analysis focussed on the group ranked highest. (Variable names: lafscalg, lapscalg, neghbr) Dichotomous variables were produced to identify these groups: low local facilities/not (laflow) high local problems/not (laphigh2) high neighbourliness/not (neghbr)

Table 1 Variables included in each scale

Summary scale	Variable name	Question ³
Local facilities score (lafscale)		
alpha coefficient: 0.6 Leisyou		Social & leisure facilities for people like yourself
	Leiskids	Facilities for young children up to the age of 12
	Leisteen	Facilities for teenagers (those aged 13 to 17)
	Lochlth	Local Health services (e.g. your GP or the local hospital)
	Schools	Local schools, colleges and adult education
	Police	Local police service
Local problems score (lapscale)		
alpha coefficient: 0.8	traffic	The speed or volume of road traffic
	parking	Parking in residential streets
	carcrime	Car crime (e.g. damage, theft and joyriding)
	rubbish	Rubbish and litter lying around
	dogmess	Dog mess
	graffiti	Graffiti or vandalism
	noisenbr	Level of noise
	teenager	Teenagers hanging around on the streets
	alcdrug	Alcohol or drug use
Neighbourliness score (neiscal2)		
Alpha coefficient: 0.7	Knownbr	Would you say that you know most/many/a few of the people in your neighbourhood or that you do not know people in your neighbourhood?
	Trustnbr	Would you say that you trust most/many/a few of the people in your neighbourhood or that you do not trust people in your neighbourhood?
	Neighlk	Would you say this neighbourhood is a place where neighbours look out for each other?
	Favdone	In the past 6 months, have you done a favour for a neighbour?
	Favrecd	And, in the past 6 months, have any of your neighbours done a favour for you?
	Spkneigh	How often do you do any of the following? Speak to neighbours.

Table 2 Recodes used for the scoring process

Local facilities (leisyou, leisklus, leisteen, locintii, schools, police				
Answer categories	original code	recode		
very good	1	1		
good	2	0.75		
average	3	0.5		
poor	4	0.25		
very poor	5	0		
don't know or have had no experience	6	mean score (see instructions)		

Local facilities (leisyou, leiskids, leisteen, lochlth, schools, police

Local problems (traffic, parking, carcrime, rubbish, dogmess, graffiti, noisenbr, teenager, alcdrug

Answer categories	original code	recode	
very big problem	1	0	
fairly big problem	2	0.3333	
minor problem	3	0.6667	
not at all a problem	4	1	
it happens but is not a problem	5	1	
don't know	6	mean score (see instructions)	

Neighbourliness (knownbr, trustnbr)

Answer categories	original code	recode
most of the people in your neighbourhood	1	1
many of the people in your neighbourhood	2	0.667
a few of the people in your neighbourhood	3	0.333
or that you do not know people in your neighbourhood	4	0

Neighbourliness (neighlk, favdone, favrecd)

Answer categories	original code	recode
yes	1	1
no	2	0
for Neighlk = don't know	3	0.5
for <i>Favdone</i> and <i>Favrecd</i> = just moved into the area		

Neighbourliness (spkneigh)

Answer categories	original code	recode
every day	1	1
5 or 6 days a week	2	0.86
3 or 4 days a week	3	0.71
once or twice a week	4	0.57
once or twice a month	5	0.43
once every couple of months	6	0.29
once or twice a year	7	0.14
not at all in last 12 months	8	0

How to derive the composite summary variables used in the GHS social capital module analysis.

Composite summary variables were developed to summarise the data from sets of questions for which scaling techniques were not appropriate. This method was used for summary variables relating to civic engagement, social support and social networks. For each of the topics there is a written description of the composite variables, a table which shows all the questions relating to that topic and a table showing the derivation of the composite variables. Questionnaire variables are referred to in the text by their variable name and are in italics. Composite variable names are shown in bold. An additional summary variable was also derived for neighbourliness which is described at the end of this section

Civic Engagement (Tables 3 & 4)

Four composite variables were derived relating to civic engagement. The final variable described is an overall summary.

The question *involved* was combined with its subsidiary question *active* to produce a composite variable categorising those who had not been involved in local organisations in the past three years, those who had been involved without responsibilities, and those who had been involved with responsibilities. (Actinv)

The answers to the multiple response question *Locact* were combined to create a variable classifying those who had taken action in the past three years to solve a local problem and those who had not. Those who said they had only thought about taking action were included in the 'had not' group. (**Locactgr**)

Answers to the questions *Informed*, *Influenc* and *Lserv* were combined to produce a summary variable classifying respondents as feels civically engaged / does not. People who answered yes to *Informed* and *Influence* and strongly agreed or agreed at *Lserv* were described as feeling civically engaged. In other words, these were people who felt that they could personally affect decisions in the area, that local people acting together could influence decisions in the neighbourhood and who were well informed about local affairs. *LocNews* was excluded as it was felt this was not measuring the same concept. (**Cefeel**)

The overall summary variable for civic engagement identified those who were described as 'not civically engaged'. Those who had not had involvement in a local organisation (*involve* \neq

1), who had not taken an action to solve a local problem ($LocActGr \neq 1$) in the past three years and had not answered positively to *Informed*, *Influenc* or *Lserv* were classified as 'not civically engaged'. (**Notce**)

The negative value was taken because it seemed conceptually more simple and therefore easier to understand a summary variable which identified people who showed no indication whatsoever of being civically engaged. It should be noted that the converse of this did not necessarily identify those who could be described as 'civically engaged' since the remaining group encompassed a broad spectrum of the population.

Social networks (Tables 5 & 6)

Three variables were created to summarise people's social networks. Two identified support by friends and support by relatives separately, as these have been found to show different relationships with respect to health⁴. A final summary combined these two.

Those who were described as having a 'satisfactory friendship network' were those people who saw or spoke to friends at least once a week (*Phonefri* = 1-4 OR *Seefrnd* = 1-4) and had at least one close friend who lived nearby (*Closefri* = 1, 2 or 3). (**Frndnet**)

Those who were described as having a 'satisfactory relatives network' were those people who saw or spoke to relatives at least once a week (*Phonerel* = 1-4 OR *Seerel* = 1-4) and had at least one close relative who lived nearby (*Closerel* = 1, 2 or 3). (**Relnet**)

Those who did not have a satisfactory friendship or a satisfactory relatives network were classified as having neither network (*Frndnet* \neq 1 and *Relnet* \neq 1). (**Nosnet**)

Social support (Tables 7 & 8)

Three scenarios were described: needing a lift, being ill in bed and needing to borrow £100. Summary variables were created to look at the number of sources of informal help that respondents had for each of the scenarios. Informal help excluded help from voluntary or other organisations. In the report of the survey we reported on people who had at least 3 sources of informal help. (Numlift2, Numll2, Nummony2)

The questionnaire variable *Ncrisis* was used as a 'summary' variable, re-coded to identify those who had less than three people they could turn to in a crisis. (**Lowsuprt**)

A more detailed set of social support variables were also derived for use in further analysis. These identify grouped categories of sources of help, including formal help (household member, relative, friend or neighbour, organisation or other) for each scenario. See Table 9.

Neighbourliness (Table 10)

Respondents were described as having 'high reciprocity' if they had done or received a favour from a neighbour (*favdone* =1, *favrecd* =1) and thought that they lived in a place where neighbours looked out for one another (*neighlk* =1).

Variable name	Question	Answer categories
Informed	Would you say that you are well informed about local affairs?	1. Yes 2. No
Influence	Do you feel you can influence decisions that affect your area?	3. Don't know
Lserv	By working together, people in my neighbourhood can influence decisions that affect the neighbourhood.	 Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree
LocNews	Local newspapers are a reliable source of information about local issues.	 Disagree Strongly disagree Don't have an opinion
Involved	Have you been involved in any local organisation over the last three years?	1. Yes 2. No
Active	Ask if have been involved in a local organisation (Involved = 1) In the last three years, have you had any responsibilities in this (these) organisation(s), such as being a committee member, raising funds, organising events or doing administrative or clerical work?	1. Yes 2. No
LocAct	In the past 3 years, have you taken any of the following actions in an attempt to solve a local problem?	 Written to local newspaper Contacted the appropriate organisation to deal with the problem Contacted a local councillor or MP Attended a protest meeting or joined an action group SPONTANEOUS Thought about it, but did not do it None of these

 Table 3 Civic engagement questionnaire variables

Composite variable	Derivation	Answer categories
Actinv	If Involved $= 2$	1. Not involved
Whether been involved in a	ActInv = 0	2. Involved, without
local organisation over the	If Involved = 1 and Active =	responsibilities
last 3 years, and whether	2	3. Involved, with
had responsibilities.	ActInv = 1	responsibilities
	If Involved= 1 and Activ = 1	_
	ActInc = 2	
Locactgr	If $LocAct = 1$ or 2 or 3 or 4	0. No action taken
Whether took action to	LocActGr = 1	1. Took action
solve a local problem	If $LocAct = 5 \text{ or } 6$	
_	LocActGr = 0	
Cefeel	If informed $= 1$	
Feels civically engaged	AND influenc $= 1$	1. Feels civically engaged
	AND (lserv = 1 OR Lserv =	0. Does not
	2)).	
	CEfeel = 1.	
Notce	If informed = 1 or influenc =	
Not civically engaged	1 or $lserv = 1$ or $lserv = 2$ or	1. Lacks civic engagement
	involved = 1 or locactgr = 1 .	0. Does not
	NotCE =0.	
	ELSE	
	NotCE = 1.	

Table 4	Derivation	of civic	engagement	composite	variables
---------	------------	----------	------------	-----------	-----------

Question name	Question	Answer categories
Phonerel	How often do you speak to relatives on the phone?	 Every day 5 or 6 days a week 3 or 4 days a week Once or twice a week
Seerel	How often do you see relatives?	 5. Once or twice a month 6. Once every couple of
Phonefri	How often do you speak to friends on the phone?	months 7. Once or twice a year
Seefrnd	How often do you see friends?	8. Not at all in the last 1 months
Closerel	How many relatives that you feel close to live within a 15-20 walk or a 5-10 minute drive?	 One or two Three or four Five or more
Closefri	How many friends that you feel close to live	4. None

 Table 5 Social networks questionnaire variables

Table 6 Derivation of social network composite variables

within a 15-20 walk or a 5-10 minute drive?

Composite variable	Derivation	Answer categories
Frndnet	IF	1. Has a satisfactory
Whether has a satisfactory	Closefri=1, 2 or 3	friendship network
friendship network.	AND	0. Does not
	(Phonefri = 1-4)	
	OR Seefrnd $= 1-4$)	
	THEN	
	Frndnet = 1.	
Relnet	IF	1. Has a satisfactory relatives
Whether has a satisfactory	Closerel=1, 2 or 3	network
relatives network.	AND	0. Does not
	(Phonerel = 1-4)	
	OR Seerel $= 1-4$)	
	THEN	
	Relnet $= 1$.	
Nosnet	IF	1. Has neither network
Whether has neither a	Frndnet=0 AND Relnet=0	0. Has one or other or both
satisfactory relatives or	THEN	
satisfactory friendship	Nosnet= 1 .	
network.		

Question	Question	Answer categories
name	Question	miswer cutegories
Lifts	You need a lift to get somewhere urgently.	1. Yes
	Could you ask anyone for help?	2. No
		3. Don't know / It depends
Lifthelp	Who would you ask for help?	1. Husband/wife/partner
		2. Other household member
		3. Relative (outside household)
		4. Friend
		5. Neighbour
		6. Voluntary or other organisation
		7. Other
		8. Would prefer not to ask for help
Illbed	You are ill in bed and need help at home.	1. Yes
	Could you ask anyone for help?	2. No
		3. Don't know / It depends
Illhelp	Who would you ask for help	1. Husband/wife/partner
		2. Other household member
		3. Relative (outside household)
		4. Friend
		5. Neighbour
		6. Voluntary or other organisation
		7. Other
		8. Would prefer not to ask for help
Money	You are in financial difficulty and need to	1. Yes
	borrow £100. Could you ask anyone for	2. No
	help?	3. Don't know / It depends
Monyhelp	Who would you ask for help?	1. Husband/wife/partner
		2. Other household member
		3. Relative (outside household)
		4. Friend
		5. Neighbour
		6. Voluntary or other organisation
		7. Other
Nariait	If you had a appiana game at a side 1	8. Would prefer not to ask for help
Ncrisis	If you had a serious personal crisis, how	RECORD NUMBER 0 15
	many people, if any, do you feel you could	IF MORE THAN 15 CODE AS 15
NoonNori	turn to for comfort and support?	DECODD NUMBER 0 15
NearNcri	How many of these people (Does this	RECORD NUMBER 0 15
	person) live within a 15-20 minute walk or a 5-10 minute drive?	IF MORE THAN 15 CODE AS 15
	a 5-10 minute drive?	

 Table 7 Social support questionnaire variables

Composite variable	Derivation	Answer categories
Numlift2	Count number of	0. No sources of help
number of sources of	answers where	1. One source of help
informal help for lift	LiftHelp = 1 to 5 .	2. Two sources of help
		3. At least three sources of help
Numill2	Count number of	0. No sources of help
number of sources of	answers where	1. One source of help
informal help if ill	IllHelp = 1 to 5 .	2. Two sources of help
		3. At least three sources of help
Nummony2	Count number of	0. No sources of help
Number of sources of	answers where	1. One source of help
informal help for	MonyHelp $= 1$ to 5.	2. Two sources of help
lending money.		3. At least three sources of help
Lowsuprt	IF	1. Has low social support
Has low social support	Ncrisis=0-2	
	THEN	
	Lowsuprt=1.	

Composite variable	Derivation	Answer categories
Lifthhld	If LiftHelp = $1 \text{ or } 2$	1. can ask household member for a lift
Whether can ask	LiftHhld = 1	0. can't ask household member for a lift
household member for a	ELSE	of call t ask nodsenord memoer for a fift
lift	LiftHhld = 0	
Liftrel	If LiftHelp = 3	1. can ask relative (outside household) for a
Whether can ask	LiftRel = 1	lift
relative (outside	ELSE	0. can ask relative (outside household) for a
household) for a lift	LiftRel = 0	lift
Liftfrne	If liftHelp = 4 or 5	1. can ask friend or neighbour for a lift
Whether can ask friend	LiftFrne = 1	0. can't ask friend or neighbour for a lift
or neighbour for a lift	ELSE	o. can't ask mend of neighbour for a me
or neighbour for a lift	LiftFrne $= 0$	
Liftoth	If liftHelp = 6 or 7	1. can ask organisation/other for a lift
Whether can ask	LiftOth = 1	0. can't ask organisation/other for a lift
voluntary or other	ELSE	0. call t ask organisation/outer for a lift
organisation for lift, or	LiftOth = 0	
answered other.	Lintotii – 0	
Numlift	Count number of	0. No sources of help
number of sources of	answers where	1. One source of help
help for lift	LiftHelp = 1 to 7.	2. Two sources of help
help for int	$\operatorname{Liftificip} = 1 \text{ to } 7.$	3. At least three sources of help
Numlift2	Count number of	^
number of sources of	answers where	0. No sources of help
		1. One source of help
informal help for lift	LiftHelp $= 1$ to 5.	2. Two sources of help
		3. At least three sources of help
Illhhld	If IllHelp = 1 or 2	1. can ask household member for help if ill
Whether can ask	IllHhld = 1	0. can't ask household member for help if ill
household member for	ELSE	
help if ill	IllHhld = 0	1 com a la collation (contri de la consta dal) form
Illrel	If IllHelp = 3	1. can ask relative (outside household) for
Whether can ask	IIIRel = 1 $ELSE$	help if ill
relative (outside		0. can ask relative (outside household) for
household) for help if ill	IIIRel = 0	help if ill
Illfrne	If IllHelp = 4 or 5	1. can ask friend or neighbour for help if ill
Whether can ask friend	IllFrne $= 1$	0. can't ask friend or neighbour for help if
or neighbour for help if	ELSE	ill
ill III-41-	IllFrne = 0	1
Illoth	If IllHelp = 6 or 7	1. can ask organisation/other for help if ill
Whether can ask	IllOth = 1	0. can't ask organisation/other for help if ill
voluntary or other	ELSE	
organisation for help if	IllOth = 0	
ill, or answered other.	Count much f	0. No courses of help
Numill	Count number of	0. No sources of help
number of sources of	answers where	1. One source of help
help if ill	IllHelp = 1 to 7 .	2. Two sources of help
		3. At least three sources of help
NumIll2	Count number of	0. No sources of help
number of sources of	answers where	1. One source of help
informal help if ill	IllHelp = 1 to 5 .	2. Two sources of help
		3. At least three sources of help

Table 9 Additional social support composite variables

Manakkli	If Monsellala 1 on	1 con cell household member for \$100
Monyhhld Whether can ask	If MonyHelp = 1 or 2	1. can ask household member for £100
household member for		0. can't ask household member for $\pounds 100$
£100.	MonyHhld = 1	
£100.	ELSE	
	MonyHhld = 0	
Monyrel	If MonyHelp = 3	1. can ask relative (outside household) for
Whether can ask	MonyRel = 1	
relative (outside	ELSE	0. can ask relative (outside household) for
household) for £100.	MonyRel = 0	£100
Monyfrne	If MonyHelp = 4 or \vec{x}	1. can ask friend or neighbour for $\pounds 100$
Whether can ask friend	5	0. can't ask friend or neighbour for £100
or neighbour for £100.	MonyFrne $= 1$	
	ELSE	
	MonyFrne = 0	
Monyoth	If MonyHelp = 6 or $-$	1. can ask organisation/other for £100
Whether can ask	7	0. can't ask organisation/other for £100
voluntary or other	MonyOth $= 1$	
organisation for £100,	ELSE	
or answered other.	MonyOth = 0	
Nummoney	Count number of	0. No sources of help
Number of sources of	answers where	1. One source of help
help for lending money.	MonyHelp $= 1$ to 7.	2. Two sources of help
		3. At least three sources of help
Nummony2	Count number of	0. No sources of help
Number of sources of	answers where	1. One source of help
informal help for	MonyHelp $= 1$ to 5.	2. Two sources of help
lending money.		3. At least three sources of help
Helphhld	Compute the sum	1. 3 types of help from household members
Number of types of	of (LiftHhld,	2. 2 types of help from household members
help from household	IllHhld,	3. 1 type of help from household members
members.	MonyHhld)	4. No help from household members
	Recode:	L
	(0=4), (1=3), (2=2),	
	(3=1)	
Helprel	Compute the sum	1. 3 types of help from relatives (outside
Number of types of help	of (LiftRel, IllRel,	household)
from relatives (outside	MonyRel)	2. 2 types of help from relatives (outside
household).	Recode:	household)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0=4), (1=3), (2=2),	3. 1 type of help from relatives (outside
	(3=1),	household)
	X / /	4. No help from relatives (outside
		household)
		nousenold)

Helpfrne	Compute the sum	1. 3 types of help from friends or neighbour
Number of types of	of (LiftHhld,	2. 2 types of help from friends or neighbour
help from friends or	IllHhld,	3. 1 type of help from friends or neighbours
neighbours.	MonyHhld)	4. No help from friends or neighbours
neighbours.	Recode:	4. No help from mends of helphood s
	(0=4), (1=3), (2=2),	
	(3=1)	1.7.
Numhelp	Compute the sum	1.7+
Number of sources of	of (NumLift2,	2. 5-6
help for all 3 situations.	NumIll2,	3. 3-4
	NumMony2)	4 0-2
	Recode:	
	(7, 8, 9 =1),	
	(5, 6=2),	
	(3, 4=3),	
	(0, 1, 2 = 1)	
Numcris	Recode NCrisis	1.7+
Number of people they	(7 or more = 1)	2. 5-6
could turn to in a crisis	(5,6=2)	3. 3-4
(recoded).	(3,4=3)	4 0-2
	(0,1,2=4)	
Numnear	Recode NearNcri	1.5+
Number of people	(5 or more =1)	2. 3-4
living nearby they could	(3,4=2)	3. 1-2
turn to in a crisis	(1,2=3)	4.0
(recoded).	(0=4)	

Table 10 Derivation of neighbourliness variable

Composite variable	Derivation	Answer categories
Recip	If favdone=1 & favrecd=1 &	2. 3 forms of reciprocity
Number of forms of	neighlk=1; recip=1	3. 2 forms of reciprocity
'reciprocity' out of do	If one of favdone, favrecd,	4. 0/1
favour, receive favour,	neighlk \neq 1; recip=2	-9 missing
neighbours look out for	If only one or none of	
each other	favdone, favrecd, neighlk= 1;	
	recip=3	
Recip2	If favdone=1 and	1. high reciprocity
Had done a favour and	favrecd=1 and	
received a favour from a	neighlk=1	
neighbour and thought that	-	
neighbours looked out for	recip2=1	
one another.	_	

Notes and references

¹ DeVellis R F (1991) Scale development. Theory and applications, SAGE: London.

² Streiner D L and Norman G R (1995) *Health Measurement Scales. A practical guide to their development and use. Second Edition*, Oxford University Press: Oxford, p 86.

³ For more details of the question see:

Coulthard M, Walker A and Morgan A. (2001) Assessing people's perceptions of their neighbourhood and community involvement. Part 1: A guide to questions for use in the measurement of social capital based on the General Household Survey module, Health Development Agency: London. The web address is: http://www.hda-online.org.uk/downloads/pdfs/peoplesperceptions.pdf

⁴ Cooper et al (1999) The Influence of Social Support and Social Capital on Health. HEA

A note on the weighting of the social capital data.

The weight variable is called SCFINW. This weight is different from that used for the main GHS data set. Only one person per household was selected for the social capital questions. This meant that weighting was required to redress the unequabrobablities of selection. This weighting procedure was incorporated into the calculation of the non-response weights (as used in the main data set). The weight used on the social capital data set produces population estimates in 1000's.

A second version was derived SCFINW2 which was scaled to a mean of one for use in the logistic regression analysis.

This documents gives the SPSS syntax used to recode GHS variables for use in the social capital report.

RECODE hhtypf1 (1=1) (2=2) (8=2) (3=3) (4=4) (5=5) (9=5) (10=3) (11=4) (12=5) (6=6) (7=7) (ELSE=Copy) INTO famkids. VALUE LABELS famkids 1'1 person' 2 'non related' 3 'mar/cohab with dep kids' 4 'mar/cohab with nondep kids' 5 'mar/cohab with no kids' 6 'lone parent with dep kids' 7 'lone parent with nondep kids'. MISSING VALUES famkids (-6,-8,-9). FORMAT famkids (F2.0). EXECUTE . RECODE ethnic1

(1=1) (2=2) (3=3) (4=3) (5=4) (6=-8) (ELSE=Copy) INTO ethnic2 . VARIABLE LABELS ethnic2 'ethnic recoded'. VALUE LABELS ethnic2 1 'white' 2 'black' 3 'Asian' 4 'Other'. FORMAT ethnic2 (F2.0). MISSING VALUES ethnic2 (-6,-8,-9). EXECUTE .

RECODE ethnic (2 thru 9=0) (ELSE=Copy) INTO ethwhite . VARIABLE LABELS ethwhite 'ethnic group - white'. VALUE LABELS ethwhite 1'white' 2 'other ethnic group'. MISSING VALUES ethwhite (-6,-8,-9). FORMAT ethwhite (F2.0). EXECUTE .

RECODE segead3 (1 THRU 4=1) (5 THRU 7=2) (-8, -9, -6=3) INTO manualb. VALUE LABELS manualb 1 'NON MANUAL' 2 'MANUAL' 3 'no category'. VARIABLE LABELS manualb 'manual & no category'. FORMAT manualb (F2.0). EXE.

recode age (lo thru 29=1)(30 thru 39=2)(40 thru 49=3)(50 thru 59=4) (60 thru 69=5)(70 thru hi=6) (ELSE=COPY) into age10. value labels age10 1 '16-29' 2 '30-9' 3 '40-9' 4 '50-9' 5 '60-9' 6 '70+'. EXE.

```
recode numveh (2 thru hi=1)(1=2)(0=3)(else=-9)into carj.
value labels carj 1 '2+cars' 2 '1 car' 3 '0 car' -9 'missing'.
missing values carj (-9).
EXE.
```

```
recode tenure1 (1=1)(2=3)(3=2)(-8,-9=-9)(else=copy)into tenj.
value labels tenj 1 'owner' 2 'private renter' 3 'social renter' -9 'missing'.
missing values tenj (-9).
EXE.
```

RECODE dvmardf (1=1) (2=2) (7=2) (3=3) (4=4) (5=5) (6=5) INTO dvmardf2. VARIABLE LABELS dvmardf2 'dvmardf grouped'. VALUE LABELS dvmardf2 1 'married' 2 'cohabiting&SSC' 3 'single' 4 'widowed' 5 'div/sep'. FORMAT dvmardf2 (F2.0). MISSING VALUES dvmardf2 (-6,-8,-9). EXECUTE . compute ftpt=-9. if (dvilo3a eq 1 and ftpte eq 1)ftpt=1. if (dvilo3a eq 1 and ftpte eq 2)ftpt=2. if (dvilo3a eq 1 and stat eq 2)ftpt=3. if (dvilo3a eq 2)ftpt=4. if (dvilo3a eq 3)ftpt=5. value labels ftpt 1 'FT employee' 2 'PT employee' 3 'self-emp' 4 'UE'5 'not in labour market' -9 'missing'. missing values ftpt (-9). EXE. ***recode ftpte (full-time/part-time). RECODE ftpte (1=1) (2=2) (ELSE=-9) INTO ftpte2. VARIABLE LABELS ftpte2 'ftpt only'. VALUE LABELS ftpte2 1 'full time' 2 'part time' -9 'no info'. FORMAT ftpte2 (F2.0). MISSING VALUES ftpte2 (-9). EXECUTE . CROSSTABS /TABLES=ftpte BY ftpte2 /FORMAT= AVALUE TABLES /CELLS= COUNT . ****GOR were recoded to combine Merseyside with the North West. MISSING VALUES govreggb (). recode govreggb (3=2) (ELSE=COPY) into GOR2.

VARIABLE LABELS GOR2 'GOR recoded'. value labels GOR2 1 'North East' 2 'North West (inc Merseyside)' 4 'Yorks and Humber' 5 'East Midlands' 6 'West Midlands' 7 'Eastern' 8 'London' 9 'South East' 10 'South West' 11 'Wales' 12 'Scotland'. FORMAT GOR2 (F2.0). EXE. MISSING VALUES govreggb GOR2 (-6,-8,-9).

Variable	Description
1-2	Serial number
3	Schedule type
4	Whether selected for the social capital module. Note everyone on this file has
	been selected (therefore has a value of 1), but it is useful if this file is combined
	with the main GHS file
5	Whether they answered the GHS module
6-7	Social capital weights, one of which has been scaled so it has a mean of one
	(which was used in the logistic regression analysis)
	(See Appendix E of the Social Capital Report for information on weighting)
	(See Appendix D of the Social Capital Report for information on using weights
	with logistic regression analysis)
	Variables from the main GHS which were used in producing the Social Capital
8-22	Report
	(See 'GHS recodes').
	NOTE. A variable 'Teenager', which is referred to in the social capital report and
	the user guide was changed to 'teenstre' in the data as a variable called 'Teenager'
	already existed on the GHS data base.
23-87	Questionnaire variables in the order they appeared,
	see User guide part 1, pp8-15 for more info.
88-99	Derived variables on Civic Engagement (Chapter 2 in the Social Capital Report)
100-107	Derived variables on Neighbourliness (Chapter 3 in the Social Capital Report)
108-116	Derived variables on Social Support (Chapter 4 in the Social Capital Report)
117-164	Derived variables on Social Networks (Chapter 5 in the Social Capital Report)
165-179	Derived variables on Local Area (Chapter 6 in the Social Capital Report)

SOCIAL CAPITAL

Ask selected adult		
1. AskNow	(NAME) HAS BEEN PICKED TO ANSWER THE SECTION ON SOCIAL CAPITAL. DO YOU WANT TO ASK THIS SECTION FOR (NAME) NOW OR LATER?	
	IF YOU HAVE ALREADY ASKED THIS SECTION FOR (NAME), DO NOT CHANGE FROM CODE 1.	
	Yes, now/already asked1 Later	
Ask if they want to be (AskNow = 1)	e asked now	
2. SOCIALAreaint	Now I would like to ask you some questions about your local area. (By area I mean within about a 15-20 minute walk or 5-10 minute drive from your home).	
Ask selected adult		
3 . Arealive	How long have you lived in this area?	
	CODE YEARS IF LESS THAN 1 CODE AS 0	
	097	
Ask if they have lived in the area for less than a year		

(Arealive = 0))
---------------	------------

4 . Areamth	How many months have you lived in this area?
	011

Ask selected adult

5. Enjyliv	[*]
	Would you say this is an area you enjoy living in?
	Yes1
	No
	Don't know

6. Locserv	[*]	
		Thinking generally about what you expect of local services, how would you rate the following
7. Leisyou		[*]
		Social & leisure facilities for people likeyourself
		SHOW CARD F
		Very Good
8. Leiskids		[*] Facilities for young children up to the age of 12
		SHOW CARD F
		Very Good
9. Leisteen		[*]
		Facilities for teenagers (those aged 13 to 17)
		SHOW CARD F
		Very Good.1Good2Average3Poor4Very Poor.5Don't know or have had no experience.6

10. Bins [*]

	Rubbish Collection
	SHOW CARD F
	Very Good.1Good2Average3Poor4Very Poor.5Don't know or have had no experience.6
11. Lochlth	[*]
	Local Health services (e.g. your GP or the local hospital)
	SHOW CARD F
	Very Good.1Good2Average3Poor4Very Poor.5Don't know or have had no experience6
12. Schools	[*]
	Local schools, colleges and adult education
	SHOW CARD F
	Very Good
13 . Police	[*]
	Local police service
	SHOW CARD F
	Very Good

14. Transprt	What is your main form of transport?
	Car/Motorcycle/Moped
15. Loctrans	[*]
	Would you say this area has good local transport for where you want to?
	Yes
16. Walkday	[*]
	How safe do you feel walking alone in this area during daytime? Do you feel
	RUNNING PROMPT
	very safe
17. Walkdark	[*]
	How safe do you feel walking alone in this area after dark? Do youfeel
	RUNNING PROMPT
	very safe

18 . Informed	[*]
	Thinking of the same local area
	Would you say that you are well informed about local affairs?
	Yes1
	No2
	Don't know3
19 . Influenc	[*]
	Do you feel you can influence decisions that affect your area?
	Yes
	No
	Don't know
20 . Lserv	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
	[*] By working together, people in my neighbourhood can influence decisions that affect the neighbourhood.
	SHOW CARD G
	Strongly agree1
	Agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Strongly disagree
	Don't have an opinion
21 . LocNews	[*]
	Local newspapers are a reliable source of information about local issues.
	SHOW CARD G
	Strongly agree1
	Agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Strongly disagree
	Don't have an opinion
22 . Involved	Have you been involved in any local organisation over the last three years?
	Vac
	Yes1 No2

Ask if they have been involved in a local organisation

(*Involved* = 1)

23. Active	In the last three years, have you had any responsibilities in thi(these) organisation(s), such as being a committee member, raising funds, organising events or doing administrative or clerical work?
	Yes
Ask selected adult	
24. Traffic	Still thinking about the same area, can you tell me how much of a problem these things are
	[*]
	The speed or volume of road traffic
	SHOW CARD H
	Very big problem.1Fairly big problem.2Minor problem.3Not at all a problem.4It happens but is not a problem.5Don't know.6
25 . Parking	[*]
	Parking in residential streets
	SHOW CARD H
	Very big problem1Fairly big problem2Minor problem3Not at all a problem4It happens but is not a problem5Don't know6
26 . Carcrime	[*]
	Car crime (e.g. damage, theft and joyriding).
	SHOW CARD H
	Very big problem1Fairly big problem2Minor problem3Not at all a problem4It happens but is not a problem5Don't know6

27 . Rubbish	[*]
	Rubbish and litter lying around
	SHOW CARD H
	Very big problem
28 . DogMess	[*]
	Dog mess
	SHOW CARD H
	Very big problem
29 . Graffiti	[*]
	Graffiti or vandalism
	SHOW CARD H
	Very big problem
30 . NoiseNbr	[*]
	Level of noise
	SHOW CARD H
	Very big problem1Fairly big problem2Minor problem3Not at all a problem4It happens but is not a problem5Don't know6

31 . Teenager	[*]
	Teenagers hanging around on the streets
	SHOW CARD H
	Very big problem
32 . Alcdrug	[*]
	Alcohol or drug use
	SHOW CARD H
	Very big problem
33. LocAct	In the past 3 years, have you taken or considered taking any of the following actions in an attempt to solve a local problem?
	CODE ALL THAT APPLY
	SHOW CARD I
	Written to local newspaper. 1 Contacted the appropriate organisation to deal with the problem 2 e.g. the council. 2 Contacted a local councillor or MP. 3 Attended a protest meetingor joined an action group. 4 SPONTANEOUS Thought about it, but did not do it. 5 None of these. 6

34 . Victim	Have you personally been a victim of any of the following crimes in the past 12 months?
	CODE ALL THAT APPLY
	SHOW CARD J
	Theft or break-in to house or flat
35. KnowNbr	Now I would like to ask you a few questions about your more immediate neighbourhood (by which I mean your street or block).
	[*]
	Would you say that you know
	RUNNING PROMPT
	most of the people in your neighbourhood
36 . TrustNbr	[*]
oo. mustivor	Would you say that you trust
	RUNNING PROMPT
	most of the people in your neighbourhood
37. Neighlk	[*]
	Would you say this neighbourhood is a place where neighbours look out for each other?
	Yes

38 . Favdone	In the past 6 months, have you done a favour for a neighbour?
	Yes1
	No
	SPONTANEOUS Just moved into the area
39 . Favrecd	And, in the past 6 months, have any of your neighbours done a favour for you?
	Yes
	No
40 . Phonerel	The next few questions are about how often you see or speak to your relatives and friends.
	Not counting the people you live with, how often do you do any of the following? Please choose your answer from the card.
	Speak to relatives on the phone
	SHOW CARD K
	Every day1
	5 or 6 days a week2
	3 or 4 days a week
	once or twice a week4
	once or twice a month
	once every couple of months
	not at all in last 12 months
41 . Seerel	See relatives
	SHOW CARD K
	Every day1
	5 or 6 days a week
	3 or 4 days a week
	once or twice a month
	once every couple of months
	once or twice a year
	not at all in last 12 months

42. Phonefri	Speak to friends on the phone
	SHOW CARD K
	Every day.15 or 6 days a week23 or 4 days a week3once or twice a week4once or twice a month5once every couple of months6once or twice a year.7not at all in last 12 months8
43 . Seefrnd	See friends
	SHOW CARD K
	Every day15 or 6 days a week
44. Spkneigh	Speak to neighbours
	SHOW CARD K
	Every day.15 or 6 days a week23 or 4 days a week3once or twice a week4once or twice a month5once every couple of months6once or twice a year.7not at all in last 12 months8
Ask if they see or sp (<i>Phonerel = 1-6 or</i>	eak to relatives regularly (i.e. at least once every couple of months) Seerel = 1-6)
45 . Closerel	(Apart from the people you live with,) how many relatives that you feel close to live within a 15-20 minute walk or 5-10 minute drive, if any?
	One or two

	speak to friends regularly (i.e. at least once every couple of month or Seefrnd = 1-6)	s)
46 . Closefri	How many close friends live within a 15-20 minute walk or drive, if any?	5-10 minute
	One or two	
	Three or four	2
	Five or more	3
	None	4

Ask selected adult

.

47 . Lifts	I am going to read a list of situations where people might need help. For each one, could you tell me if you would ask anyone for help?
	You need a lift to be somewhere urgently. Could you ask anyone for help?
	Yes

Ask if could ask for lift or answers don't know/depends (*lifts = 1 or 3*)

48. Lifthelp	Can you look at the card and tell mewho you would ask for help	
	CODE UP TO 3 ANSWERS	
	SHOW CARD L	
	Husband/wife/partner	
	Other household member	
	Relative (outside household)	3
	Friend	
	Neighbour	
	Voluntary or other organisation	
	Other	7
	Would prefer not toask for help	8

Ask selected adult

49 . Illbed	You are ill in bed and need help at home. Could you ask anyone for help?
	Yes1
	No2
	Don't know / It depends

Ask if could ask someone for help if ill in bed, or answers don't know/depends

(Illbed = 1 or 3)

50 . Illhelp	Can you look at the card and tell mewho you would ask for help
	CODE UP TO 3 ANSWERS
	SHOW CARD L
	Husband/wife/partner.1Other household member.2Relative (outside household).3Friend4Neighbour.5Voluntary or other organisation.6Other7Would prefer not to ask for help.8

Ask selected adult

51 . Money	You are in financial difficulty and need to borrow £100. Could you ask anyone for help?
	Yes
	Don't know / It depends

Ask if could ask someone for help if in financial difficulty, or answers don't know/depends (Money = 1 or 3)

52 . Monyhelp	Can you look at the card and tell mewho you would ask for help
	CODE UP TO 3 ANSWERS
	SHOW CARD L
	Husband/wife/partner.1Other household member.2Relative (outside household).3Friend4Neighbour.5Voluntary or other organisation.6Other7Would prefer not to ask for help.8

Ask selected adult

53. Ncrisis If you had a serious personal crisis, how many people, if any, do you feel you could turn to for comfort and support?

RECORD NUMBER 0..15

IF MORE THAN 15 CODE AS 15

Ask if they could turn to someone for comfort and support (*Ncrisis* > 0)

54. NearNcri How many of these people (Does this person) live within a 15-20 minute walk or 5-10 minute drive, if any?

RECORD NUMBER 0..15

IF MORE THAN 15 CODE AS 15